

Sea cage fish farming: an evaluation of environmental and public health aspects (the five fundamental flaws of sea cage fish farming)

Paper presented by Don Staniford at the European Parliament's Committee on Fisheries public hearing on 'Aquaculture in the European Union: Present Situation and Future Prospects', 1st October 2002:

http://www.europarl.eu.int/hearings/20021001/pech/programme_en.pdf
http://www.europarl.eu.int/committees/pech_home.htm

Introduction:

Aquaculture is the fastest growing sector of the world food economy but has proceeded way in advance of adequate environmental and public health safeguards. As fish have become privatised, the last two decades have seen a fundamental shift away from 'family' towards 'factory' fish farming and a marked transition from a capture to a culture economy. In 1984 aquaculture accounted for only 8% of fisheries production leaping to ca. 30% in 2002 and in the coming decades aquaculture is predicted to overtake capture fisheries (Williams: 1996, Tacon and Forster: 2001, FEAP: 2002). The development of this 'new' industry (OECD: 1989) has caused severe environmental problems. Aquaculture is nothing new of course: the new development has been in the global expansion of intensive sea cage fish farming. As a 'Forward Study of Community Aquaculture' commissioned by the European Commission (EC) states:

"As the aquaculture industry has developed and has incorporated technological advances, it has moved from extensive to intensive systems. This intensification of production methods has been accompanied by an increase in the potential threat to the already precarious ecological equilibrium in our streams, reservoirs and oceans....Recently, this intensification of aquaculture production has led to the industry being regarded as one of the leading polluters of the aquatic environment" (MacAllister and Partners: 1999, p55)

The development of intensive sea cage finfish farming has therefore overshadowed and encroached upon shellfish farming areas and traditional inshore fisheries. Such is sea cage fish farming's global reach that its demands on fish meal and fish oil is placing pressure on capture fisheries in the South Pacific, Africa, Asia and the Arctic.

The environmental impacts of mariculture have received increasing international scrutiny (ICES: 1996, 1999a) but seldom at the European Union or European Commission level (Mastracchio: 1992, Munday et al: 1992, EC: 1995). The future sustainability of aquaculture, and the role of different production sectors, has received scant attention (European Parliament: 1996a, 1996b, Fischler: 1999, MacAllister and Partners: 1999, Coffey: 2001). The fact that the European Commission took until September 2002 to produce a 'Strategy for the Sustainable Development of European Aquaculture' (EC: 2002c) is a damning indictment of how unregulated and unsustainable aquaculture development in the European Union has been. The devil is in the detail as far as the new strategy is concerned and whilst given a cautious welcome by environmentalists it remains to be seen if the raft of proposals can be

implemented successfully (Cameron: 2002e). Where the aquaculture sector fits into the ongoing reform of the Common Fisheries Policy (EC: 2002d) is another unresolved and controversial issue. For example, the statement in the Green Paper on the Future of the CFP that “Aquaculture development has contributed to the supply of fish products without increasing pressure on wild stocks” (EC: 2002d) is seriously misguided. In fact, scientific evidence (Naylor et al: 2000, Pauly: 2002) suggests the expansion of intensive sea cage fish farming (especially salmon, sea bass, sea bream and tuna) may be incompatible with the sustainability of wild fisheries. Given sea cage fish farming’s inherent unsustainability there must be serious question marks over its role in any ‘Strategy for Sustainable Development of European Aquaculture’.

Sea cage finfish farming in particular presents insurmountable problems in terms of mass escapes, GM fish, the spread of infectious diseases, parasite infestation, the reliance upon toxic chemicals, contamination of the seabed and the bioaccumulation of organochlorine pesticides such as dioxins and PCBs (Milewski: 2001, Staniford: 2002b). Nor is the problem restricted to Scottish salmon farming (SWCL: 1992, 1993, Ross: 1997, WWF: 2000, FoE: 2001a, Berry and Davison: 2001, Scottish Executive: 2002b, Scottish Parliament: 2002a); reports have also focussed on salmon farming in Ireland (O’Brien: 1989, O’Sullivan: 1989, Oliver and Colleran: 1990, Meldon: 1993), Norway (Ervik et al: 1997, DNM: 1999), Canada (Ellis: 1996, Milewski et al 1997, Sierra Legal Defense Fund: 1997), Chile (Claude et al: 2000) and the United States (Goldburg and Tripplett: 1997). More recently the expansion of tuna, sea bass and sea bream farming in the Mediterranean (MERAMED: 2002, Studela: 2002, WWF: 2002a) has received long overdue attention. Sea cages have spread like a cancer around the European coastline. As Dr Sergei Tudela of WWF states:

“Intensive industrial scale aquaculture has become synonymous with pollution and destruction of the marine environment, conflicts with other resource users, and high levels of toxins in the fish produced. The spread of aquaculture, a cause of increasing concern and growing alarm, has been described as a cancer at the heart of the coastal environment” (Tudela: 2002)

That does not mean that all aquaculture operations are fatally flawed – shellfish farming is relatively environmentally benign compared with an intensive finfish farming industry that is reliant upon inputs of feed and chemicals and discharges contaminated wastes. In the UK, Scottish Natural Heritage noted the ‘marked incompatibility’ between the shellfish and finfish farming sectors (Fagan: 2001, SNH: 2001). Supporting the expansion of shellfish farming may therefore necessarily involve supporting a reduction in sea cage fish farming: it is a case of “either....or” not “both....and”. The clash of cultures between finfish and shellfish farmers was seen last year when the Association of Scottish Salmon Growers voted for a moratorium on salmon farming (Ross and Holme: 2001). Far from criticising aquaculture per se, this paper highlights both the environmental and public health threats arising from sea cage fish farming focussing on five fundamental flaws of sea cage fish farming; namely wastes, escapes, diseases and parasites, chemicals and feed/food.

Sea cage fish farming in the European Union: salmon, sea bass, sea bream and tuna

Before detailing the five fundamental flaws of sea cage fish farming, however, it is important that the European context of the discussion is briefly outlined. The principal aquaculture products of the Union are finfish (trout, salmon, sea bass, sea bream), and molluscs (mussels, oysters and clams). The European Community accounts for 4.7% of world aquaculture in value terms and 8% of marine aquaculture production, but is the chief world producer for most of the farmed species on its territory (trout, sea bass, sea bream, European eel, turbot, mussels and oysters). Aquaculture represents 31% of the total value of European Union fish production with France (265,800 tonnes: mainly oysters, trout and mussels), Spain (233,700 tonnes: mainly mussels) and Italy (212,000 tonnes: mainly mussels, trout and clams) the chief producing countries. In terms of value (with ca. 90% of production derived from salmon), the UK stands in second place (European Parliament: 2002). Sea cage fish farming comprises mainly of salmon (Scotland: 140,000 tonnes, Ireland: 25,000 tonnes) and sea bass and sea bream (ca. 100,000 tonnes in Greece, Italy and Spain) accounts for ca. 250,000 tonnes of EU production compared to ca. 750,000 tonnes of shellfish (MacAllister and Partners: 1999, FEAP: 2002). However, despite being ca. three times smaller in terms of production levels sea cage fish farming is by far the most important sector in terms of environmental aspects.

The European sea cage fish farming sector is dominated by salmon (Scotland and Ireland – Norway is the largest salmon farming country in the world but is outside the EU), sea bass and sea bream (Greece, Italy and Spain account for ca. 75% of European production). Turbot, tuna, sole, halibut, cod, sea trout and haddock are at various stages of development (FEAP: 2002). In addition to the well documented problems in Norwegian, Scottish and Irish salmon farming, the environmental impacts of Mediterranean fish farming are now being investigated (Delgado et al: 1999, Pergent et al: 1999, Saroglia et al: 2000, Tovar et al: 2000, Ruiz et al: 2001, MERAMED: 2002). WWF, Greenpeace, and ANSE have all expressed serious concerns over the expansion of tuna farms in the Mediterranean (Tudela: 2002, WWF: 2002a, WWF: 2002b). These concerns are reinforced by the EC's long-awaited 'Strategy for the Sustainable Development of European Aquaculture':

"The demand of juveniles of wild origin generated by the development of bluefin tuna farming has the potential to harm the status of these already highly exploited stocks....Tuna farming has increasing effects on tuna fisheries in the Mediterranean and may affect the livelihood of fishermen, because of the capture of juvenile fish" (EC: 2002c)

The Murcian coast of Spain is the biggest producer of fattened captive tuna in the world. Tuna farms are also located in Croatia, Italy and Malta, while France, Tunisia, and Algeria are interested in developing this activity (WWF: 2002c). In Malta, for example, the Green Party are opposing plans to site yet another tuna farm off Benghajsa Reef. Green Party chairman Dr Harry Vassallo stated that such development is "yet another example of how all the rhetoric in favour of sustainable development keeps being shelved in favour of unsustainable development. Tuna farms are harmful to the

environment and to tourism alike and there seems to be no way to stop the tuna-farm lobby unless clear political action is taken" (FIS: 2002b). Dr Sergi Tudela, Fisheries' Officer at WWF's Mediterranean Programme agrees:

"Tuna farming, which combines capture and culture activities, raises some serious questions about the use of the tuna resource and its sustainability. It also provides an example of a market-driven fishery that generates huge profits for a few, but produces a huge ecological footprint on the entire marine ecosystem, undermining the social and economic fabric of coastal communities that are highly dependent on small-scale fisheries and tourism" (Tudela: 2002)

The encroachment of cage fish farming into the coastal tourist areas of the Mediterranean is also evident in Greece where sea bass and sea bream cages now litter the coastline (Intrafish: 2002b). Greece are the European leaders in sea bass and sea bream farming with over 50% (>60,000 tons) of all production, while the other important producing countries are Italy (14% - 17,000 tons), Spain (13% - 16,000 tons) and Turkey (12% - 14,000 tons). Nearly 90% of this production sector is thus focused in 4 Mediterranean countries (FEAP: 2002) but it is a sector in 'crisis'. Greece has been accused by Italian, Spanish, Portuguese and French sea bream and sea bass producers of "threatening to seriously damage the whole of the European sector" (Richardson: 2002b). Spanish and Italian sea bream and sea bass farms have also come under closer environmental scrutiny (Delgado et al: 1999, Pergent et al: 1999, Saroglia et al: 2000, Tovar et al: 2000, Ruiz et al: 2001).

The EC's first "Strategy for the Sustainable Development of European Aquaculture" (EC: 2002c) concedes that marine fish farming "suffers from environmental problems linked to intensive fish farming, where fish is fed with industrial feed" (p4) and "in some regions, aquaculture faces a considerable problem with the public because of negative environmental effects" (p6). Ireland, for example, have been severely criticised by the EC over aquacultural expansion in Loch Swilly (Browne: 2001a, 2001b, Dubhghaill: 2001) and a 10,000-signature petition calling for an aquaculture moratorium submitted to the EU in March 2002 (Irish Times: 2002). The Scottish government have also been accused of 'regulatory failure' in allowing the expansion of sea cage fish farming in Scotland with a petition submitted to the EC (Ross: 2001) and an inquiry by the Scottish Parliament (Scottish Parliament: 2002a). Environmental problems can be categorised into the 'five fundamental flaws of sea cage fish farming'. And whilst many of the examples detailed below may seem specific to salmon (and to a lesser extent sea bass and sea bream) they do illustrate the generic problems associated with sea cage fish farming.

In many ways, the experiences generated via the unregulated and unfettered expansion of salmon, sea bass and sea bream farming are lessons which ought to be taken on board when considering the future expansion of tuna, cod, halibut and haddock. The inherent danger at the European level is that by supporting the farming of carnivorous species such as salmon, sea bass, sea bream, tuna, turbot, cod and halibut the net result is a negative impact on the wild fisheries and shellfish farming sectors. That "more than half the fish consumed in Europe is now imported because of depleted home water stocks" (Brown and Meikle: 2000) is alarming but it does not justify importing vast quantities of industrial fish meal and fish oil from the South Pacific, for

example, to fuel the unsustainable expansion of sea cage fish farming in Europe. Nor does it legitimise plundering the Arctic for krill in search of less contaminated fish meal or catching blue whiting, mackerel, sardines and other species to feed farmed salmon and tuna destined for the export market rather than support local communities and the EU market.

In global terms, the ecological footprint of sea cage fish farming in Europe extends way beyond the borders of the EU. The Dutch multinational Nutreco, for example, has been criticised for the conduct of its salmon farming operations in Chile (Milieudefensie: 2002, Reuters: 2002). Similarly, members of the Community are not immune to developments outside the EU. The ongoing EC investigations against Norway (Solsletten: 2002c), the Faroes (Gardar: 2002) and Chile (Intrafish: 2002d) for dumping of farmed salmon in EU markets is a case in point. Norway, for example, (although outside the EU) exports 75% of its farmed salmon production to EU countries (Horsberg: 2000). If aquaculture at the European level is to have a sustainable future it must guard against replicating the past mistakes now so evident in the present crises in the salmon, sea bass and sea bream sectors. And that means tackling the five fundamental flaws of sea cage fish farming:

The Five Fundamental Flaws of Sea Cage Fish Farming:

1) Wastes:

Open sea cage fish farming, be it tuna, sea bass or sea bream farming in the Mediterranean or salmon farming in Scotland, Ireland and Norway, discharges untreated wastes directly into the sea. Nor are aquaculture wastes an insignificant source of nutrients and wastes (Staniford: 2002b). The EC admits in its 'Strategy for the Sustainable Development of European Aquaculture' that: "In areas with numerous farms, nutrient enrichment and the risk of eutrophication are significant issues" (EC: 2002c, p9). According to the Norwegian Directorate of Nature Management "in many countries, the aquaculture industry is the greatest source of human-created emissions of phosphorus and nitrogen" (DNM: 1999). WWF have estimated, for example (WWF Scotland: 2000), that Scottish salmon farms discharge the sewage waste equivalent of over 9 million people (Scotland's population is 5.1 million). Both OSPAR and HELCOM have recently highlighted the problem of nitrogen and phosphorus discharges from both freshwater and marine farming operations into the North Sea and Baltic (OSPAR: 2001, HELCOM: 2001). In April 2000 the Norwegian State Pollution Control Agency admitted that salmon farms were "now major polluters" (ENDS: 2000). In the Mediterranean the EC is sponsoring research into cutting wastes due to problems with poor feed conversion in sea bass and sea bream farms (EC: 2002i).

The link between toxic algal blooms (and shellfish poisoning events such as DSP, ASP and PSP) and fish farm wastes is the subject of attention both in the Mediterranean and Scotland (Gowen and Ezzi: 1992, Berry: 1996, 1999, Davies: 2000, Navarro: 2000, Ruiz et al: 2001, MERAMED: 2002, Scottish Executive: 2002b). The Scottish Executive, for example, have hired Professor Smayda from the University of Rhode Island and Professor Rydberg from Stockholm University to investigate such a link

(Staniford: 2002b). Research has also focussed on Scandinavia (Ackefors and Rosen: 1979, Ruokolahti: 1988, Aure and Stigebrant: 1990, Persson: 1991, Ronnberg et al: 1992, Braaten: 1992, Enell: 1995), Ireland (Gowen: 1990), Europe as a whole (Alabaster: 1982, Rosenthal et al: 1993, GESAMP: 1996, OSPAR: 2001) as well as other countries around the world (Nishimura: 1982, Black: 1993, ICES: 1999b, Martin: 2000, Arzul et al: 2001). An EU-funded project (AQUATOXSAL) in Latin America, conducted by French and German researchers, is also due to report later this year (Arzul et al: 1999, 2002, EC: 2002g).

The Dutch multinational Nutreco, the largest fish farming and fish feed company in the world, has long been involved in research looking at the link between eutrophication and fish feed (Talbot and Hole: 1994) but continues to discharge wastes directly into pristine coastal waters. EC-sponsored research has also highlighted the negative effects of waste loadings on fish health (EC: 2000f). And there are public health concerns surrounding shellfish poisoning events such as Amnesic, Diarrhetic and Paralytic Shellfish Poisoning (ASP, DSP and PSP) related to salmon farms. For example, DSP affected mussels collected from salmon cages in Loch Seaforth in Scotland (Sandison: 2000) led to 49 people in two London restaurants being treated for “nausea, vomiting, diarrhoea, abdominal pain, and feeling feverish” (Scoging and Bahl: 1998). The technology required for closed containment systems already exist (G3 Consulting: 2000) and is being commercially developed in Canada (Cutland: 2002) but it has not been adopted in Europe as farmers dismiss it as too expensive. The Commission’s latest proposals for “new waste collection systems under cages” represent the bare minimum (EC: 2002c) – indeed, Scottish research has existed for years (SEPA: 1998a). The suggestion that Council Directive 91/676/EEC (which “aims to reduce water pollution caused or induced by nitrates from agricultural sources, including the spreading or discharge of livestock effluents”) “should be extended to include intensive fish farming” is a welcome one but not before time (EC: 2002c). In allowing sea cages to discharge contaminated wastes into the sea, however, countries are permitting farmers the free use of pristine coastal waters as an open sewer (Folke and Kautsky: 1994). Closed containment systems would not only stem the tide of pollution from sea cages but would also prevent escapes, stop the spread of diseases and parasites to wild fish and reduce the need for chemicals.

2) Escapes:

EC-sponsored research has highlighted the negative impacts of farmed salmon escapees on wild fish in Norwegian, Irish, Scottish and Spanish rivers (McGinnity et al: 1997, Clifford et al: 1998, Fleming and Einum: 1997, EC: 2000e, EC: 2000h, Fleming et al: 2000, McGinnity et al: 2002, Scottish Executive: 2002b). AQUAWILD, for example, aims “to assess genetic and environmental impacts of cultured fish on wild conspecifics at various life stages through competition and interbreeding” (EC: 2002h). A forthcoming scientific paper by researchers at the Queens University Belfast will reveal the results of a 10-year Irish investigation (funded by the EC) into the impact of escaped farmed salmon on wild fish (McDowell: 2002). Preliminary results suggest that farmed fish escapes and hatchery-reared fish are having such an impact that wild salmon stocks are precipitating into an “extinction vortex” (McGinnity et al: 2002). As well as spreading parasites and ‘genetic pollution’ via interbreeding and hybridisation,

escapees have the capacity to spread infectious diseases to wild fish populations. For example, in Scotland since May 2002 (when it became law to report escapes) 3 out of the 4 escapes (totalling 57,000 fish: equivalent to the entire wild salmon catch in Scotland) came from farms infected with Infectious Pancreatic Necrosis (IPN). New information from the Scottish Executive reveals that there have been 28 escape incidents (involving an estimated 500,000 farmed fish) from Scottish fish farms since 1998 (Scottish Parliament: 2002b).

The inevitable risk of escapes was something that the UK's Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology Commission took into consideration in September 2002 when it recommended a ban on GM salmon in sea cages (AEBC: 2002). Such a precautionary position is reinforced by the EC's 'Strategy on the Sustainable Development of European Aquaculture' which states that: "The potential deliberate release of transgenic fish without containment measures raises public concern in terms of risk to the environment" (EC: 2002c). However, the EC's ongoing investment into GM fish technologies and research (including salmon, tilapia, trout and carp) does not inspire confidence that GM aquaculture species will not be commercially developed (EC: 2000d, EC: 2000g, Carrell: 2001a, 2001b, 2001c, 2001d, Carrell and Lean: 2001, EC: 2002k, EC: 2002l). Field trials of GM salmon took place in Scotland on the shores of Loch Fyne as far back as 1995-6 (BBC: 2000a). EC-funded GM salmon research has been conducted at the National University of Ireland in Galway (EC: 2000d, EC: 2000g) although the researchers involved have been reluctant to divulge details (Charron: 2001). And outside the EU, Hungary has already completed GM fish experiments with Chinook salmon, carp and zebrafish (EC: 2002j).

In February 2002 over half a million salmon escaped in a single incident in the Faroes (Gardar: 2002a). In Scotland alone there have been over 1 million reported escapes since 1997 (Aitken: 2002) with evidence of interbreeding with wild salmon and hybridisation with brown trout (Webb et al: 1991, 1993, Youngson et al: 1997, 1998). In Norway, such is the historical problem of mass escapes, that some rivers are comprised of up to 90% farm escapees (Saegrov et al: 1997, Fleming and Einum: 1997, Fleming et al: 2000). And in Ireland, some river systems have been found to contain more farmed fish than wild fish (Crozier: 1993, 2000, Clifford et al: 1998). The global problem of salmon escapes is so evident that Norwegian farmed salmon are now resident in the Faroes (Hansen et al: 1999) and salmon that escaped from an Irish farm in August 2001 were caught in English, Scottish and Welsh rivers (Milner and Evans: 2002). Moving cages further offshore will only increase the risk of escapes. Closed containment systems are the only safe solution. Yet given the sheer number of escaped farmed salmon and the negative impact of hatcheries on wild salmon (McGinnity et al: 2002) the very future of wild Atlantic salmon may already be in question. That tuna, sea bass, sea bream, sea trout, cod, halibut, haddock, turbot and sole are already being farmed (and are already escaping) is a disaster waiting to happen.

3) Diseases and parasites:

According to the EC "infectious disease poses the biggest single threat to aquaculture" (EC: 2002f). Infectious Pancreatic Necrosis (IPN) and Infectious Salmon Anaemia

(ISA) are the latest in a long line of infectious diseases such as furunculosis to decimate the salmon farming industry. New diseases are appearing all the time. EC-sponsored research is now addressing the emerging problems of salmon pancreas disease (SPD) and sleeping disease (SD) in farmed salmon (EC: 2002d). Another EC-sponsored project, SALIMPACT, is investigating the impact of disease transmitted through the contact between farmed and wild fish (EC: 2002h). GM technology has also been applied to study ways of combating disease and conferring disease resistance (EC: 2002l).

Disease outbreaks have also affected the sea bass and sea bream industries in the Mediterranean. The European Aquaculture Society, for example, has referred to "enormous problems like Pasteurellosis and Nodaviriosis" affecting sea bass and sea bream (EAS: 1996). The intensification of culture of sea bass and sea bream "has provoked some severe disease problems" (Agius and Tanti: 1997). The main parasitic infections include *Ichtyobodo* sp., *Ceratomyxa* sp., *Amyloodinium ocellatum*, *Trichodina* sp., *Myxidium leei*, and *Diplectanum aequans*. Cysts of unknown microsporidia found in skeletal muscles of market size sea bream at varying incidences have caused problems with marketing on European Union markets (Agius and Tanti: 1997). Given the current crisis in the sea bass and sea bream industry (Richardson: 2002b) the role of overproduction and the consequent spread of diseases and parasites must not be underestimated.

The spread of diseases and parasites, as in battery chicken farming, is a function of overstocking and intensive production (Paone: 2000b). It is therefore inevitable that new diseases on intensive fish farms will emerge (Meikle: 2002). A report by Compassion in World Farming published in January 2002 calculated that each farmed salmon had the equivalent water space as a single bath-tub of water and called for a halving of stocking densities (Lymberry: 2002). A forthcoming report by the Council of Europe on fish welfare may address the issue of stocking densities on fish farms (EC: 2002c). In the meantime, however, sea cage fish farms will continue to act as reservoirs for infectious diseases and parasitic infestations.

ISA has recently affected the Faroes (Gardar: 2002b) and it was reported in an escapee rainbow trout in Clew Bay, Ireland in August 2002 (Charron: 2002b). Ireland has taken a precautionary approach "putting in place full disease control measures consistent with the Irish ISA Withdrawal Plan" (Fennelly: 2002). Consequently, Ireland's only organic salmon farm at Clare Island has been closed to visitors and salmon sent off for ISA testing. In Scotland during 1998-9, for example, ISA led to the destruction of 4 million salmon, the setting up of a 'National Crisis Centre' and a quarter of the industry was placed in quarantine (Royal Society of Edinburgh: 2001). Supermarkets in the UK refused to sell farmed salmon from ISA affected farms (Edwards: 1999). In February 2000 the European Parliament's Fisheries Committee reported that: "clearly the containment of ISA is of concern not only to Scotland, but to the Community as a whole. ISA poses a threat to the Community salmon industry at present, and potentially a greater threat if it were to spread to the other Member States" (European Parliament: 2000). IPN is now "ubiquitous" in Scotland affecting 60-70% of salmon farms (Cameron: 2002f, Macaskill: 2002). In Norway, where 11 million farmed salmon died last year, both ISA and IPN have caused significant mortalities (Intrafish: 1999a,b, Intrafish: 2002c, Solsletten: 2001, 2002b). So serious is the IPN problem that the EC is

now “developing recombinant DNA vaccines” (EC: 2002f). In view of the fact that IPN can infect turbot and halibut (European Parliament: 1996b) and the number of escapes of IPN infected farmed salmon (Scottish Parliament: 2002b) the risk of fish farms spreading diseases to wild fish should not be underestimated.

The scientific evidence linking sea lice infestation on wild salmon and sea trout with proximity to salmon farms has now been proved beyond reasonable doubt (Edwards: 1998, Butler and Watt: 2002, Bjorn and Finstad: 2002, Gargan and Tully: 2002, Holst et al: 2002). As the EC explains:

“These parasites proliferate on farmed salmon, and the young wild fish of migratory species (mainly of sea trout) could be heavily infected during their estuarine movements. The reduction of wild salmonids abundance is also linked to other factors but there is more and more scientific evidence establishing a direct link between the number of lice-infested wild fish and the presence of cages in the same estuary” (EC: 2002c, p9)

Locating salmon cages, for example, at the mouth of salmon rivers and in sea trout areas is the antithesis of the precautionary principle. Surely the only sensible solution is to relocate farms away from such sensitive areas (Butler et al: 2001, FoE: 2001b). In view of the endemic disease and parasite problems and the build up of antibiotic and chemical resistance (EC: 2001e), chemical controls have patently failed to address the parasite problem.

4) Chemicals:

Intensive finfish farmers, unlike shellfish farmers, are reliant upon a suite of chemicals to control diseases and parasites (Schnick et al: 1997, Alderman: 1999, Roth: 2000, Costello: 2001). Reports by the World Health Organisation and GESAMP have highlighted the environmental and public health threats of chemical use on fish farms (GESAMP: 1997, WHO: 1999). However, despite a reduction in the use of antibiotics and organophosphates in salmon farming (OSPAR: 1994) the use of synthetic pyrethroids, artificial colorants, antifoulants, antiparasitics and other ‘marine pollutants’ warrants serious concern (Staniford: 2002a). The cocktail of toxic chemicals used on salmon farms, in particular, jeopardises not only the marine environment but also the safety of workers (Douglas: 1995, GESAMP: 1997, Kelleher et al: 1998, Connolly: 2002). In Danish trout farms, for example, the abuse of antibiotics has raised consumer and environmental concerns (Lutzhof et al: 1999). Chemicals used on salmon farms include carcinogens, mutagens and a myriad of marine pollutants (Staniford: 2002b). Since many chemical ‘treatments’ are designed to kill sea lice (which are crustacea) shellfish farmers have raised concerns in relation to the negative effects on other shellfish such as lobsters, crabs, mussels, oysters and scallops (Blythman: 2001, Ross and Holme: 2001).

Ongoing research in Scotland is investigating the impacts of the sea lice chemicals teflubenzuron, cypermethrin and emamectin benzoate on zooplankton and copepods (Edwards: 2002a, SAMS: 2002a, 2002b, 2002c). Cypermethrin, for example, has been recently linked to reproductive effects in wild salmon and significant impacts on

shellfish over several hectares (Ernst et al: 2001, Moore and Waring: 2001). The European Medicines Evaluation Agency openly concedes that “the proposed use of Azamethiphos in fish farming means that deliberate contamination of the environment will occur” (EMEA: 1999) yet in Scotland over 700 licences to use cypermethrin, azamethiphos, teflubenzuron and emamectin have been issued since 1998 (Merritt: 2002). The decision to licence them is based more on economic expediency than consumer or environmental safety and is tantamount to state-sponsored pollution (Merritt: 2002). The scale of chemical use in European salmon farming has now led the EC to fund research into sea lice resistance to chemicals used on salmon farms (EC: 2001e).

Such was the historical use of chemicals like dichlorvos (Ross: 1989, 1990, Ross and Horsman: 1988) - banned by the UK in April 2002 as it was deemed carcinogenic (DEFRA: 2002) - that legal action from fish farm workers with cancers and other health issues is pending in the Scottish and Irish courts (Connolly: 2002, Staniford: 2002b). Significant clusters of testicular cancer in salmon farming areas have been reported in Ireland (Kelleher et al: 1998). Figures for the use of dichlorvos on Norwegian fish farms throughout the 1980s are also alarming (Grave et al: 1991, Horsberg: 2000). In Norway, the quantities of dichlorvos used were so high that fatal organophosphate poisoning of the farmed salmon took place (Salte et al: 1987, Horsberg et al: 1989) and residues were detected in the flesh of the salmon (Horsberg and Hoy: 1990). In the UK, the Government have estimated that up to 50 tonnes of dichlorvos (some four times more than all other household and agricultural uses combined) were used annually in the 1980s and early 1990s by Scottish salmon farmers (Davies: 1991, Department of the Environment: 1991, Scottish Office: 1992).

Chemicals such as DDT, dieldrin, chlordane, hexachloro-benzene, PCBs, toxaphene and dioxins, which all bioaccumulate via the fish feed, have been found both under salmon cages and in the flesh of farmed salmon (Hellou: 2002a, 2002b, Pirie: 2001, Cameron: 2002c, PRC: 2002). Anti-fouling paints containing TBT, copper and zinc have also been found under salmon cages (Davies et al: 1998, SEPA: 1998b). The World Health Organisation concedes that “veterinary drug residues or heavy metals may accumulate in aquaculture products at levels of concern for public health” (WHO: 1999). There is an alarming information gap:

“Information is needed on the transfer of feed contaminants to edible fish tissues and any implications of this for human health...As certain pesticides required in aquaculture can pose food safety hazards, more information is needed on the types of compounds used. Studies should be conducted to determine whether the use of pesticides can result in residue levels in fish tissue that are potentially harmful to human health” (WHO: 1999, pp 47-49)

Chemicals used illegally and detected in farmed salmon on sale in UK supermarkets include the recently banned carcinogen Malachite green (Department of Health: 1999, Cameron: 2002d, Scottish Executive: 2002a) and ivermectin (Cameron: 2001). So pervasive is the illegal use of toxic chemicals in Scotland that members of both Scottish Quality Salmon and the Shetland Salmon Farmers Association have both been caught using ivermectin and cypermethrin illegally (Intrafish: 1998, Barnett: 2000, BBC: 2000b, Cameron: 2002a) leading to calls by consumer groups for more testing of farmed

salmon (Cameron: 2002b). Norwegian salmon farmers have also been caught using Malachite green illegally (Jensen: 2001) and in August this year Norway introduced new regulations allowing medicine residues in farmed salmon raising fears that there would be a negative impact on sales in the European market (Solsletten: 2002b). Elsewhere in sea bass and sea bream farming, reports of furazolidone, malachite green and ivermectin use in Malta hardly inspire confidence in the sector (EC: 2000c). A forthcoming report is to investigate chemical use in Mediterranean sea cage fish farming in much more detail.

5) Feed/Food:

Intensive sea cage fish farming's dependence upon a fast diminishing and increasingly contaminated resource – namely fish meal and fish oil – threatens to blow sea cage fish farming out of the water altogether. The fifth fundamental flaw – the unresolved and unsolvable feed/food issue - will ultimately be the final fatal flaw for sea cage fish farming. Aquaculture's appetite for fish meal and fish oil is rapidly impacting on the capture fisheries sector (Tacon: 1994, Naylor et al: 1998, Naylor et al: 2000, Pauly et al: 2002). Over 3 tonnes of wild fish are required to produce one tonne of farmed salmon, for example (for other marine fish this rises to over 5 tonnes) (Naylor et al: 2000) leading to a net loss on marine resources and a drain on the capture sector. Salmon farming is running on empty - it is literally running out of fuel. Such is aquaculture's insatiable growth that it already uses up ca. 70% of the world's fish oil and ca. 35% of the world's fish meal (Tacon and Forster: 2001, Tacon and Barg: 2001). In June 2001 the Research Council of Norway predicted that "within three to eight years" the lack of marine oil raw materials could hinder the growth of Norwegian salmon farming (Hjellestad: 2001a). A staggering 80 per cent of all fish caught by Norwegian trawlers is already used to provide feed for the fish farming industry and the International Fish Meal and Fish Oil Manufacturers Association (IFOMA) predict that aquaculture may consume 90 per cent of the world's fish oil by 2010 (Pike and Barlow: 1999). Moreover:

"It would be a mistake to abandon the significance of fish oils as subservient to that of fish meal. There is a risk that quality fish oils could prove to be the more finite commodity in the next decade as aquaculture is projected to use 87% of world supply in 2010. This has obvious implications for the salmon sector and others where much of the dietary energy is provided as oil at present" (MacAllister and Partners: 1999, p39)

Just as oil companies are looking further afield, fishing fleets are sinking to greater depths in search of fish oil – the new 'blue gold'. Feed companies are already harvesting sandeels, sprats, capelin, anchovies, herring, mackerel, blue whiting and even looking to exploit krill (Hjellestad: 2001b, 2002). Desperate to find an alternative fuel supply, salmon farmers have turned to vegetables, wheat, soya, seaweed and other non-fish meal and fish oil diets. Replacing fish oil in salmon diets with vegetable lipids has already lead to problems with the Japanese sending back consignments of farmed salmon as it tastes too 'earthy'. The problem of consumer acceptability of salmon fed on vegetables is something that the EC are now investigating (EC: 2001g). The search for fish feed substitutes (Hjellestad: 2001a) is addressed in the EC's "Strategy on the Sustainable Development of European Aquaculture":

“The Commission considers that research to find alternative protein sources for fish feed should be given top priority, in order to allow a further development of carnivorous fish farming and, at the same time, ensure the sustainability of industrial fisheries” (EC: 2002, p12)

However, turning a carnivore into an herbivore is ultimately doomed to failure. In fact, the EC is currently sponsoring a project looking into the welfare, disease and animal health implications of feeding vegetables to salmon (EC: 2001f). On land we only farm herbivores such as cattle, pigs, sheep and chickens so why do we not apply the same principles when farming in the sea? Why not continue farming shellfish such as mussels, oysters, clams and scallops that has been practised for millennia? When all the environmental, economic and social costs are internalised, sea cage fish farming makes precious little sense at all. Sadly, common sense is not a currency those bankrolling sea cage farming are used to dealing in (Staniford: 2001).

Not only is aquaculture’s food supply fast running out but also what fish remains is contaminated with organochlorine pesticides. In the Northern hemisphere especially, the marine environment has been polluted to such an extent that the consequences are now being seen in the biomagnification of contaminants up through our food chain. EC measures designed to tackle the problem of PCB and dioxin contamination (EC: 2000a, 2000b, 2001a, 2002a, 2002b) have been met with fierce resistance by the fish feed industry whose products have been effectively labelled ‘hazardous goods’. For example, when the EU first proposed to lower the level of dioxins in fish meal and fish oil:

“The trade reacted immediately and went about lobbying various European ministries. Fishmeal producers from Peru and Chile e-mailed and faxed their embassies, the trade in Europe called for emergency meetings in Spain, Italy, Germany, UK, Iceland and Norway. Agriculture ministers from every country were bombarded with information and requests to postpone the meeting. One senior EU official was reported to have disconnected his telephone and fax line on the Friday afternoon because of the volume of information he was receiving” (Millar: 1999)

In November 2000 the EC’s Scientific Committee on Animal Nutrition stated that “fish meal and fish oil are the most heavily contaminated feed materials with products of European fish stocks more heavily contaminated than those from South Pacific stock by a factor of ca. eight” (EC: 2000a) whilst the EC’s Scientific Committee on Food stated that fish can contain ten times higher levels of dioxins than some other foodstuffs and can represent up to 63% of the average daily exposure to dioxins (EC: 2000b). In November 2001 the EC adopted new regulations on dioxins in food and feed (EC: 2001a, EC: 2002b) but failed to include PCBs “because of the scarcity of reliable data” (EC: 2000a). The Council Directive 2001/102/EC and Council Regulation (EC) 2375/2001 foresee that the maximum levels of dioxins in feed and food will be reviewed for the first time by 31 December 2004 at the latest in the light of new data on the presence of dioxins and dioxin-like PCBs, in particular with a view to the inclusion of dioxin-like PCBs in the levels to be set. A further review by 31 December 2006 at the latest will aim to significantly reducing the maximum levels (EC: 2002b, 2002c).

The repercussions for sea cage fish farmers are especially significant as they are dependent upon vast quantities of fish meal and fish oil (Millar: 2001). For example, the news that fish feed and farmed salmon was contaminated with dioxins led to Nutreco's share price falling 15% (Intrafish: 2001). The farming of fish such as salmon so high up the food chain is an extremely efficient way of concentrating contaminants. Some fish feed is so contaminated it should be disposed of as hazardous goods rather than fed to farmed fish destined for human consumption. Yet, fish feed companies have known about PCB contamination, for example, for over 20 years (Mac: 1979). The pesticides toxaphene, DDT and chlordane have all been detected in farmed salmon and fish feed (Oetjen and Karl: 1998, PRC:2002). Nutreco and IFOMA have both been involved in an EC-sponsored research project looking at 'Dioxin and PCB Accumulation in Farmed Fish from Feed' which has just been completed although when the results will be made publicly available is unclear (EC: 2002d). According to the project outline: "Contaminant levels will be determined in fillets, whole fish and in the faeces in order to measure contaminant accumulation, if any, in the edible flesh and their digestibilities" (EC: 2002d). The EC is in the process of establishing a database of 1,500 samples to compare PCB and dioxin contamination between different farmed and wild species and different countries.

Recent scientific research has revealed contamination in Canadian, Norwegian, Scottish and Irish farmed salmon (MAFF: 1999, Easton et al: 2002, FSAI: 2002, Jacobs et al: 2000, Jacobs et al: 2002a, 2002b, PRC: 2002). Dioxin contamination of fishery products is now well known with DDT, chlordane and hexachlorobenzene recently detected in 97% of 'fresh' (i.e. farmed) salmon on sale in the UK (the only negative sample was the one wild fresh salmon sample) (Cameron: 2002c, PRC: 2002). In 1997 all 161 samples of farmed salmon tested by the UK's Veterinary Medicines Directorate contained PCBs (VMD: 1998). The Food Standards Agency in the UK have also detected PCB residues in Danish farmed trout and imported Chilean farmed salmon (Intrafish: 2002a). Both the Irish Food Safety Authority and the Pesticides Residues Committee in the UK have found that farmed salmon is four times more contaminated in terms of PCBs, DDT, hexachloro-benzene and chlordane than wild salmon (FSAI: 2002, PRC: 2002).

Baltic seafood is so contaminated there have been concerns over PCB contamination of fishery products (Kiviranta, 2002). Consequently, Finland and Sweden negotiated a derogation out of the EC dioxin regulations (ENDS: 2001b). The EC estimate that approximately 20% of all industrial fish (mainly sprat and herring) is by definition 'contaminated' and above the new limits set for dioxins and PCBs (European Parliament: 2001) but for some countries such as Italy, Greece and Denmark over 50% of their industrial fish catches have "high conflict potential" with the new dioxin regulations (i.e. more than half of their industrial fish is contaminated). For Finland and Sweden that figure rises to 100% and 90% respectively (European Parliament: 2001). Norwegian seafood products are also contaminated (Lundebye et al: 2000, ENDS: 2001a). The Institute of Marine Research in Norway explain how PCB contamination in fish meal has led them to seek substitutes further afield in the Arctic and further down the food chain in the shape of krill:

"PCB accumulates in fish, so there is more PCB higher in the food chain. That means that there is less PCB in krill, which is lower in the food chain" (Hjellestad: 2002)

Consumers, however, are increasingly concerned over higher levels of contaminants in farmed salmon (Edwards: 2002b). As well as containing more PCBs, dioxins and DDT, farmed fish contain more fat and less of the healthy Omega 3 fish oils (Vliet and Katan: 1990, Cronin et al: 1991, George and Bhopal: 1995, Paone: 2000a). According to the Food and Drug Administration in the US, farmed salmon, for example, are four times fatter than wild salmon (Paone: 2000a). And farmed sea bass and sea bream have been found to contain 17 and 7 times more fat than their wild cousins (the same survey showed farmed turbot contained three times more fat than wild turbot) (Richardson: 2001b). The notion that eating farmed salmon is universally good for public health is no more than a sales gimmick sold by supermarkets intent on boosting profits and Government agencies who have invested a great deal of money in bankrolling salmon farming at the expense of wild fisheries.

The glossy packaging does not even say the product is farmed let alone what other hidden extras it contains. Listeria in farmed salmon is becoming much more of a problem in Europe (EC: 1998) with Irish, Scottish and Norwegian salmon recalled by the Food and Drug Administration in the United States (FDA: 2002). Following illegal use of ivermectin in Scotland two British supermarkets refused to sell farmed salmon from affected farms (New Scientist: 1997). The artificial colouring Carthaxanthin (E161g), due to health concerns over its links with eye defects in children, is now the subject of a EU-wide consultation with a view to a four-fold reduction in salmon and trout diets (EC: 2002a). Carthaxanthin use is so widespread that it has been detected both in salmon farm escapees (Poole et al: 2000), their offspring (Saegrov et al: 1997) and on the seabed (Girling: 2001). In the UK, Scottish Quality Salmon have been actively lobbying against any reduction whilst some supermarkets are calling for a complete ban. In the US, the law requires Carthaxanthin to be labelled on the packaging (Cherry: 2002). In the UK, France, Spain and across the European Union the new EC fish labelling regulations which came into force on 1st January 2002 (EC: 2001c) are being flouted (Blythman: 2002, Richardson: 2002a, FIS: 2002a).

Given surveys by the UK and French governments (Seafish: 2001, Browne: 2001c, Richardson: 2002c) showing the general public distrusts farmed fish products it is not altogether surprising that supermarkets are reluctant to reveal whether fish has been farmed in closed cages or caught in open ocean. More seriously, farmed salmon mislabelled as 'wild' has led to an EC-sponsored project designed to detect food fraud. For example, it was revealed last year that 25% of 'wild' fish in France was actually farmed (Richardson: 2001a). Since September 2001 a consortium in France, Italy, the UK and Norway has been working to develop a validated method to enable official laboratories to determine exactly where fish come from, and whether or not they are wild (EC: 2001b). That consumers are still unaware they are buying farmed salmon let alone a tainted product that contains high levels of artificial colourings (and is contaminated with PCBs and dioxins) is a vital public health and public awareness issue. The World Health Organisation recently investigated 'Food Safety Issues Associated with Products from Aquaculture':

"The study group concluded that there were considerable needs for information associated with the aquaculture sector of food production. The gaps in knowledge hinder the process of risk assessment and the application of appropriate risk

management strategies with respect to food safety strategy for products from aquaculture” (WHO: 1999, p45)

This view is echoed in research commissioned by the EC; namely that:

“Aquaculture bring with it new problems – not least it raises new food safety issues because of the human interference in the food production cycle....Concerns over sustainability, environmental degradation and food safety can only become more pronounced” (MacAllister and Partners: 1999, pp 36-43)

From a public health perspective, therefore, farmed fish is a poor relation and no substitute for wild fish. Environmental and public interest groups have campaigned directly against salmon farming and in support of wild salmon (Paone: 2000a, Ecotrust: 2002, David Suzuki: 2002, IATP: 2002). This month a coalition of groups in North America will launch a “Farmed and Dangerous” campaign outlining the public health risks of eating farmed salmon and another UK and Ireland protest raising public awareness of farmed salmon will take place on 26th October. With the European market already flooded with cheap farmed sea bass, sea bream and salmon, a consumer boycott of farmed fish products could be the final nail in the coffin of sea cage fish farming. If the financial markets are any barometer, the ailing world leader Nutreco is in a very poor state of health indeed (Intrafish: 2001, Charron: 2002a, Berge: 2002a, 2002b). The fifth fundamental flaw could be fatal to the future of sea cage fish farming in Europe.

Conclusions:

The pace of aquaculture expansion has meant that certain farmed fish products now represent a global threat to both the marine environment and consumer safety (e.g. the recent SANCO Rapid Food Alerts concerning chloramphenicol in farmed shrimp from Asia or the ongoing crisis over dioxins and PCBs in farmed salmon). Moreover, the need for increasing quantities of wild caught fish meal to fuel the expansion of sea cage fish farms (such as tuna, salmon, trout, halibut, cod, sea bass and sea bream) is jeopardising the very future of wild capture fisheries. As Dr Daniel Pauly points out in the scientific journal Nature:

“Modern aquaculture practices are largely unsustainable: they consume natural resources at a high rate and, because of their intensity, they are extremely vulnerable to the pollution and disease outbreaks they induce....Much of what is described as aquaculture, at least in Europe, North America and other parts of the developed world, consists of feedlot operations in which carnivorous fish (mainly salmon, but also various sea bass and other species) are fattened on a diet rich in fish meal and oil. The idea makes commercial sense, as the farmed fish fetch a much higher market price than the fish ground up for fish meal (even though they may consist of species that are consumed by people, such as herring, sardine or mackerels, forming the bulk of the pelagic fishes). The point is that operations of this type, which are directed to wealthy consumers, use up much more fish flesh than they produce, and hence cannot replace capture fisheries, especially in developing countries, where very few can afford

imported smoked salmon. Indeed, this form of aquaculture represents another source of pressure on wild fish populations (Pauly et al: 2002)

Therefore, by farming carnivores such as salmon, sea bass, sea bream and tuna at the top of the food chain it's a case of 'robbing Peter to pay Paul'. Given the net loss in fisheries resources it is no wonder fishermen feel short-changed (Staniford: 2001).

The future of fish farming lies in moving away from the intensive monoculture of finfish towards shellfish farming and integrated polyculture systems. This is something that the Commission's "Strategy for the Sustainable Development of European Aquaculture" tentatively addresses:

"The improvement of traditional aquaculture activities such as mollusc farming, that are important in maintaining the social and environmental tissue of specific areas, should be encouraged.... Efforts should possibly be oriented to species such as seaweed, molluscs and herbivorous fish, that are able to utilise the primary production more efficiently" (European Commission: 2002, p12)

The 'Forward Study of Community Aquaculture' proposed that:

"The EU should support research in the field of sustainable aquaculture, including: technical constraints to, and economic viability of offshore aquaculture, waste water treatment techniques, alternative protein sources to fish meal and oils, and development of polyculture options" (MacAllister and Partners: 1999, p60)

If sea cage fish farming is to have any long-term future it must be forced to treat its wastes and focus on non-carnivorous species that do not lead to a net deficit in fisheries resources (FoE: 2001a). Closed containment systems may solve the waste and escapes problems but the final fatal flaw lies in feed and food issues. Far from being a panacea for the decline in wild fisheries and the need for healthy food, sea cage fish farming serves only to compound the current crisis.

References:

Ackefors, H and Rosen, C G (1979) Farming aquatic animals: the emergence of a world-wide industry with profound ecological consequences. *Ambio* 8 (4), 132-14

Aitken, M (2002) Staggering extent of fish farm escapes – over a million salmon lost from cages threaten Scotland's wild stocks with extinction. *The Mail on Sunday*, 14th April

Agius, C and Tanti, J (1997) Status of fish diseases in the Mediterranean. In T.W. Flegel and I.H. MacRae (eds.), *Diseases in Asian Aquaculture* 111. Fish Health Section, Asian Fisheries Society, Manila
http://www.fisheries.go.th/dof_thai/Health_new/Health_new/aahri/AAHRI/Topics/DAA3_Abstracts/Finfish%20Health%20General.htm

Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology Commission (2002) Report on animals and biotechnology. AEBC, London http://www.aebc.gov.uk/aebc/animals_report.html

Alabaster, J S (1982) Report of the EIFAC workshop on fish farm effluents. EIFAC Technical Paper 41. FAO, Rome

Alderman, D J (1999) Chemicals in aquaculture. In Sustainable aquaculture. Balkema, Rotterdam

Arzul, G, Clement, A and Seguel, M (1999) Preservation of marine environment in the South of Latin America: aquaculture expansion and phytoplankton development. International workshop in Puerto Montt, 8-9th April <http://www.aquatoxsal.de>
<http://www.ifremer.fr/delec/pp/aquatox.htm>

Arzul, G, Seguel, M and Clement, A (2001) Effect of marine animal excretions on differential growth of phytoplankton species. ICES Journal of Marine Science 58 (2), 386-390 <http://www.sundayherald.com/6758>
<http://www.ices.dk/symposia/eem/eemoral.htm>

Arzul, G et al (2002) Aquaculture, environment and phytoplankton. Proceedings of a conference in Brest, 21st-23rd May (to be published later this year: Arzul, pers.comm)
http://www.bretagne-online.com/telegram/htdocs/archive/2001/20010521/29_LOCALES_NORD/article/art_010607020A_2742876.htm
http://www.bretagne-online.com/telegram/htdocs/archive/2001/20010524/24_HEURES/article/art_010A0B000_2752466.htm

Aure, J and Stigebrant, A (1990) Quantitative estimates of the eutrophication effects of fish farming on fjords. Aquaculture 90, 135-156

Barnett, A (2000) 'Illegal poison' used on salmon - chemical treatment at fish farms is hazard to health and marine life, claims ex-employee. The Observer, 30th April
<http://www.guardianunlimited.co.uk/Archive/Article/0,4273,4013345,00.html>

BBC (2000a) Giant GM salmon on the way. BBC News, 11th April
<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/sci/tech/708927.stm>

BBC (2000b) Salmon producer kicked out. BBC News, 19th July
<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/uk/scotland/841811.stm>

Berge, A (2002a) Salmon prices shake Nutreco shares - the share price of Dutch company Nutreco has been shaken by continually falling salmon prices, plummeting almost 50 per cent in the past few months. Intrafish, 19th September
<http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=27259>

Berge, A (2002b) Nutreco worth less than price paid for Hydro Seafood: after yet another dive on the Amsterdam Stock Exchange, Dutch corporation Nutreco is worth less than the price it paid for Hydro Seafood in spring 2000

<http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=27466>

Berry, A W (1996) Aquaculture and sea loch nutrient ratios: a hypothesis. In K D Black (ed) Aquaculture and sea lochs. Scottish Association of Marine Science, Oban
<http://www.mar.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/science/mesd/he/lists/phycotoxins-1/msg00360.html>

Berry, A W (1999) Stochiometric perturbations and the production of nitrogenous biotoxins. Paper presented at the ICES Symposium on the environmental effects on mariculture <http://www.ices.dk/symposia/eem/habsess1.htm>
<http://www.ices.dk/symposia/eem/eemoral.htm>

Berry, C and Davison, A (2001) Bitter harvest - a call for reform in Scottish aquaculture. WWF Scotland, Aberfeldy <http://www.wwf.org.uk/scotland>

Bjorn, P A and Finstad, B (2002) Salmon lice, *Lepeophtheirus salmonis* (Krøyer) infestation in sympatric populations of Arctic char, *Salvelinus alpinus* (L.), and sea trout, *Salmo trutta* (L.), in areas near and distant from salmon farms. ICES Journal of Marine Science 59, 131-139
http://www.seaweb.org/resources/sac/bibliography/farm_02.html

Black, E A (1993) Fish farms as the initiator of algae blooms. Fish Aquaculture Interactions Bullpen, Audience Notes. Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, Victoria

Blythman, J (2001) Salmon farmers in for a grilling. The Sunday Herald, 11th March
<http://www.sundayherald.com/14198>

Blythman, J (2002) Stores ignore EU laws on fish labelling - supermarkets 'mislead' public over seafood. The Sunday Herald, 17th March
<http://www.sundayherald.com/23050>

Braaten, B (1992) Impact of pollution from aquaculture in six Nordic countries: release of nutrients, effects and waste water treatment. In Aquaculture and the Environment, European Aquaculture Society Special Publication No 16, 79-102

Brown, P and Meikle, J (2000) A fisherman's tale of greed and folly: the barren seas. The Guardian, 14th August
<http://www.guardian.co.uk/international/story/0,3604,354037,00.html>
<http://www.guardian.co.uk/fish>

Browne, M (2001a) Commission rebukes Ireland over aquaculture - the European Commission has rebuked the Irish government for breaching European Directives on wildlife in the Lough Swilly area, in favour of aquaculture applications. Intrafish, 17th May <http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=12520>

Browne, M (2001b) EC official attacks Irish attitude to aquaculture - A European Commission official has described Ireland's approach to aquaculture developments in Special Protection Areas (SPAs) as "quite disturbing" and "very serious". Intrafish, 19th May <http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=12540>

Browne, M (2001c) 'Farmed' fish label could hurt fish farming - New European legislation requiring wild and farmed salmon to be labelled as such could have a detrimental impact on the salmon farming industry, according to recent research and media coverage. Intrafish, 26th June
<http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=13640>

Butler, J R A and Watt, J (2002) Impacts of salmon on the Scottish west coast: priorities in conserving wild stocks. Paper presented at the 6th International Atlantic salmon symposium in Edinburgh, 16-18th July

Butler, J R A et al (2001) Patterns of sea lice infestations on Scottish West coast sea trout: survey results, 1997-2000
<http://www.watershed-watch.org/www/publications/sf/AWCFT%20lice%20report.pdf>

Cameron, F (2001) Banned Ivermectin found in Scottish salmon. Intrafish, 11th September <http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=15919>

Cameron, F (2002a) Shetland firm which used illegal chemical bows out of quality scheme. Intrafish, 30th January
<http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=20246>

Cameron, F (2002b) UK consumers call for more medicine residue tests. Intrafish, 3rd April <http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=21928>

Cameron, F (2002c) Study shows traces of DDT in most fresh salmon sampled from UK retailers. Intrafish, 16th July <http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=25212>

Cameron, F (2002d) UK residues inspectors report malachite green in salmon samples. Intrafish, 11th September
<http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=26977>

Cameron, F (2002e) Environmentalists welcome EC aqua proposals - but question if they can deliver. Intrafish, 23rd September
<http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=27356>

Cameron, F (2002f) IPN now ubiquitous in Scotland. Intrafish, 19th September
<http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=27242>

Carrell, S (2001a) MPs demand truth about why £3m was spent on GM fish. Independent on Sunday, 8th April <http://www.independent.co.uk/story.jsp?story=65513>

Carrell, S (2001b) MPs to examine plans for GM fish. Independent on Sunday, 29th April <http://www.independent.co.uk/story.jsp?story=69365>

Carrell, S (2001c) Concern over British trials of GM 'super fish'. Independent on Sunday, 5th August <http://www.independent.co.uk/story.jsp?story=87122>

- Carrell, S (2001d) Whitehall funds hush-hush production of GM fish. Independent on Sunday, 12th August <http://www.independent.co.uk/story.jsp?story=88298>
- Carrell, S and Lean, G (2001) Whitehall funds hush-hush production of GM fish. Independent on Sunday, 1st April <http://www.independent.co.uk/story.jsp?story=64136>
- Charron, B (2001) NUIG playing with words over GM salmon research. Intrafish, 2nd May <http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=12077>
- Charron, B (2002a) Black Tuesday for Nutreco: 19% loss in share value yesterday - the world's number one salmon farming company, Nutreco Holding (which owns Marine Harvest), saw the value of its shares plummet by 18.94% yesterday after the publication of poor First Half 2002 results. Intrafish, 7th August <http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=25861>
- Charron, B (2002b) 'Strong evidence' of ISA in Irish rainbow trout farm – OIE. Intrafish, 19th August <http://intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=26221&s=>
- Claude, M et al (2000) The inefficiency of salmon aquaculture in Chile: social, economic and environmental effects. Terram, Chile <http://www.terram.cl>
- Clifford, S et al (1998) Genetic changes in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) populations of Northwest Irish river resulting from escapes of adult farmed salmon. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 55(2): 358-363 http://www.watershed-watch.org/www/publications/sf/Genetic_Change_Irish_rivers.pdf
- Coffey, C (2001) Towards sustainable aquaculture and processing in the EU. Paper presented at the public hearing on 'The Future of the Common Fisheries Policy' (5-7th June), Brussels http://europa.eu.int/comm/fisheries/greenpaper/cof_en.htm
- Costello, M et al (2001) The control of chemicals used in aquaculture in Europe. Journal of Applied Ichthyology 17 (4), 173-180
- Cronin, D.A., Powell, R. & Gormley, R. (1991) An examination of the n-3 and n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acid status of wild and farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Irish Journal of Food Science and Technology, 15, 53-62
- Crozier, W W (1993) Evidence of genetic interaction between escaped farmed salmon and wild Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) in northern Irish river. Aquaculture, 113: 19-29
- Crozier, W.W (2000) Escaped farmed salmon, *Salmo salar* L., in the Glenarm River, Northern Ireland: genetic status of the wild population 7 years on. Fisheries Management and Ecology 7(5): 437-446
- Cutland, L (2002) Million dollar closed containment salmon farm to be built in BC. Intrafish, 15th July <http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=25126&s=>

David Suzuki Foundation (2002) Why you shouldn't eat farmed salmon. David Suzuki Foundation, Vancouver http://www.davidsuzuki.org/files/PSF_Salmon_Brochure.pdf
http://www.davidsuzuki.org/Campaigns_and_Programs/Salmon_Aquaculture/What_You_Can_Do/

Davies, I M (1991) Actual amounts of aquagard used on Scottish salmon farms. Report to the Ministry and Agriculture Fisheries and Food and the Veterinary Medicines Directorate. Private and Confidential report not available from the Scottish Executive

Davies, I M et al (1998) Effects of TBT in western coastal waters. Final report to DETR, contract PECD CW0691: Fisheries Research Services Report No 5/98. Private and Confidential report not available from the Scottish Executive

Davies, I M (2000) Waste production by farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) in Scotland. ICES CM O:01, 1-6

Delgado, O et al (1999) Effects of fish farming on seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*) in a Mediterranean bay: seagrass decline after organic loading cessation. *Oceanologica Acta* 22 (1), 109-117

Department of the Environment (1991) Proposed provisional environmental quality standards for dichlorvos in water (ESSL 9378/DoE 2249-M/2). Water Resources Centre, Medmenham <http://www.fwr.org/environs/dwi0119.htm>

Department of the Environment, Farming and Rural Affairs (2002) Ministers act against a range of insecticides containing the chemical dichlorvos. DEFRA, 19th April <http://www.defra.gov.uk/news/2002/020419a.htm>

Department of Health (1999) Committee on Mutagenicity statement on malachite green and leucomalachite green. Department of Health, February <http://www.doh.gov.uk/cot/malachit.htm>

Directorate for Nature Management (1999) Environmental objectives for Norwegian aquaculture: new environmental objectives for 1998-2000. Directorate for Nature Management, Trondheim <http://www.naturforaltning.no>

Douglas, J D M (1995) Salmon farming: occupational health in a new rural industry. *Occupational Medicine* 45, 89-92

Dubhghaill, U M (2001) Ireland will 'monitor' EU criticisms - the Irish authorities will respond to the European Commission's formal warning over aquaculture developments in Lough Swilly. Intrafish, 25th May 2001 <http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=12667>

Easton, M D L, Luszniak, D and Von der Geest, E (2002) Preliminary examination of contaminant loadings in farmed salmon, wild salmon and commercial salmon feed. *Chemosphere* 46, 1053-1074 <http://www.elsevier.com/inca/publications/store/3/6/2/>

Ecotrust (2002) Ever wonder what's behind that farmed salmon steak? Ecotrust, USA
<http://www.ecotrust.org/>

Edwards, R (1998) Infested waters - sea lice from salmon farms threaten Scotland's sea trout. *New Scientist* 159 (2141), 23

Edwards, R (1999) Shops in salmon boycott over virus. *The Sunday Herald*, 7th November <http://sundayherald.cw.cims.co.uk/5238>

Edwards, R (2002a) Big catch - fish farming is flourishing at the expense of other marine life. *New Scientist*, 27th April <http://www.sundayherald.co.uk/24181>
<http://www.planetark.org/dailynewsstory.cfm/newsid/15660/story.htm>

Edwards, R (2002b) Study proves cancer-link chemicals in farm salmon: call for consumer boycott as groundbreaking study finds evidence of major pollutants in the food chain. *The Sunday Herald*, 7th July <http://www.sundayherald.com/26081>

Ellis, D W (1996) Net loss: the salmon netcage industry in B.C. David Suzuki Foundation, Vancouver
http://www.davidsuzuki.org/Publications/Aquaculture_Reports/default.asp

ENDS (2000) Norwegian fish farms 'now major polluters'. *ENDS*, April
<http://www.environmentdaily.com/articles/index.cfm?action=article&ref=7415&searchtext=salmon&searchtype=All>

ENDS (2001a) Alarm in Norway over food contamination. *ENDS*, 22nd January
<http://www.environmentdaily.com/articles/index.cfm?action=article&ref=9146&searchtext=dioxin&searchtype=All>

ENDS (2001b) Sweden, Finland win dioxin in fish derogation. *ENDS*, 26th November
<http://www.environmentdaily.com/articles/index.cfm?action=article&ref=11104>

Enell, M (1995) Environmental impacts of nutrients from Nordic fish farming. *Water Science and Technology* 31 (10), 61-71

ENN (2002) New WWF report says tuna farming will strike deathblow to Mediterranean tuna. *ENN*, 11th April 2002
<http://www.enn.com/direct/display-release.asp?id=6600>

Ernst, W et al (2001) Dispersion and toxicity to non-target aquatic organisms of pesticides used to treat sea lice on salmon in net pen enclosures. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 42 (6), 433-444
<http://www.elsevier.com/geomg/10/32/47/34/30/25/abstract.html>

Ervik, A et al (1997) Regulating the local environmental impact of intensive marine fish farming I: the concept of the MOM system (Modelling-Ongrowing fish farms-Monitoring). *Aquaculture* 158, 85-94

European Aquaculture Society (1996) Emerging pathologies in seabass and seabream reared intensively. Roundtable discussion at the Seabass and Seabream Workshop in Verona, 16-18th October
<http://www.easonline.org/agenda/en/pastmeetings/seabass.asp>

European Commission (1995) Aquaculture and the environment in the European Community. Directorate General for Fisheries, Brussels (ISBN 92-826-9066-0)

European Commission (1998) Risks presented by *Listeria monocytogenes* on cold smoked salmon. Cordis publications, Brussels
http://dbs.cordis.lu/cordis-cgi/srchidadb?ACTION=D&SESSION=79532002-9-28&DOC=148&TBL=EN_PUBL&RCN=EN_RCN:199820956&CALLER=EN_CORDIS

European Commission (2000a) Opinion on dioxins in food. Scientific Committee on Animal Nutrition, Brussels http://europa.eu.int/comm/food/fs/sc/scan/out55_en.pdf

European Commission (2000b) Opinion on the risk assessment of dioxins and dioxin-like PCBs in food. Scientific Committee on Food, Brussels
http://europa.eu.int/comm/food/fs/sc/scf/out78_en.pdf

European Commission (2000c) Final report of a mission carried out in Malta from 12 to 17 July 2000 for the purpose of assessing the conditions of production of fishery products. DG SANCO, European Commission
http://europa.eu.int/comm/food/fs/inspections/vi/reports/malta/vi_rep_malt_1048-2000_en.pdf

European Commission (2000d) The development of transgenic animals (including fish) with novel characteristics. First Framework Programme, Brussels
http://dbs.cordis.lu/cordis-cgi/srchidadb?ACTION=D&SESSION=79532002-9-28&DOC=27&TBL=EN_PROJ&RCN=EP_RCN:13704&CALLER=EN_CORDIS

European Commission (2000e) An assessment of the genetic consequences of the deliberate and inadvertent introduction of non-native Atlantic salmon into natural populations. Third Framework Programme, Brussels http://dbs.cordis.lu/cordis-cgi/srchidadb?ACTION=D&SESSION=79532002-9-28&DOC=32&TBL=EN_PROJ&RCN=EP_RCN:16680&CALLER=EN_CORDIS

European Commission (2000f) The interaction between fish farm induced environmental change and fish health in intensive mariculture. Second Framework Programme, Brussels http://dbs.cordis.lu/cordis-cgi/srchidadb?ACTION=D&SESSION=79532002-9-28&DOC=48&TBL=EN_PROJ&RCN=EP_RCN:16908&CALLER=EN_CORDIS

European Commission (2000g) Biological containment of transgenic fish and risk assessment of inter-species gene transfer. Third Framework Programme, Brussels
http://dbs.cordis.lu/cordis-cgi/srchidadb?ACTION=D&SESSION=79532002-9-28&DOC=57&TBL=EN_PROJ&RCN=EP_RCN:26999&CALLER=EN_CORDIS

European Commission (2000h) Hybridisation between escaped farmed Atlantic salmon and brown trout: frequency, distribution, behavioural mechanisms and effects on fitness. Third Framework Programme, Brussels http://dbs.cordis.lu/cordis-cgi/srchidadb?ACTION=D&SESSION=79532002-9-28&DOC=63&TBL=EN_PROJ&RCN=EP_RCN:29540&CALLER=EN_CORDIS

European Commission (2001a) Dioxin in Food: Byrne welcomes adoption by Council of dioxin limits in food. European Commission news release, 29th November http://europa.eu.int/comm/dgs/health_consumer/library/press/press169_en.pdf
http://europa.eu.int/comm/dgs/health_consumer/library/press/press170_en.pdf

European Commission (2001b) Food fraud: detecting food law cheats: salmon - wild or farmed? European Commission, 23rd November
<http://europa.eu.int/comm/research/growth/gcc/projects/food-fraud.html#05>
http://dbs.cordis.lu/fep-cgi/srchidadb?ACTION=D&SESSION=247312002-9-28&DOC=2&TBL=EN_PROJ&RCN=EP_RCN_A:58322&CALLER=PROJ_FP5

European Commission (2001c) Consumers to get more information on fisheries products. European Commission news release, 22nd October
http://europa.eu.int/rapid/start/cgi/guesten.ksh?p_action.gettxt=gt&doc=IP/01/1461|0|R APID&lg=EN;

European Commission (2001d) The Common Fisheries Policy after 2002. European Commission, Brussels
http://europa.eu.int/comm/fisheries/greenpaper/green1_en.htm
http://europa.eu.int/comm/fisheries/doc_et_publ/cfp_en.htm
http://europa.eu.int/comm/fisheries/reform/aquaculture_en.htm

European Commission (2001e) Sea-Lice resistance to chemotherapeutants diagnosis, mechanisms, dynamics and control. Cordis Fifth Framework Project, Brussels http://dbs.cordis.lu/fep-cgi/srchidadb?ACTION=D&SESSION=247312002-9-28&DOC=7&TBL=EN_PROJ&RCN=EP_RCN_A:54655&CALLER=PROJ_FP5

European Commission (2001f) Gastrointestinal functions and food intake regulation in salmonids: impact of dietary vegetable lipids. Cordis Fifth Framework Project, Brussels http://dbs.cordis.lu/fep-cgi/srchidadb?ACTION=D&SESSION=247312002-9-28&DOC=14&TBL=EN_PROJ&RCN=EP_RCN_A:63149&CALLER=PROJ_FP5

European Commission (2001g) Researching alternatives to fish oil for aquaculture. Cordis Fifth Framework Project, Brussels http://dbs.cordis.lu/fep-cgi/srchidadb?ACTION=D&SESSION=247312002-9-28&DOC=18&TBL=EN_PROJ&RCN=EP_RCN_A:63196&CALLER=PROJ_FP5

European Commission (2001h) Impact of aquaculture on the immune response genes of natural salmonid populations: spatial and temporal genetic signatures and potential fitness consequences. Cordis Fifth Framework Project, Brussels
http://dbs.cordis.lu/fep-cgi/srchidadb?ACTION=D&SESSION=247312002-9-28&DOC=21&TBL=EN_PROJ&RCN=EP_RCN_A:63209&CALLER=PROJ_FP5

European Commission (2002a) Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Animal Nutrition on the use of canthaxanthin for use in feedingstuffs for salmon and trout, laying hens and other poultry. Health and Consumer Protection DG, 17th April
http://europa.eu.int/comm/food/fs/sc/scan/out81_en.pdf

European Commission (2002b) Limits on presence of dioxin in food and feed enter into force on 1 July. European Commission news release, 28th June
http://europa.eu.int/rapid/start/cgi/guesten.ksh?p_action.gettxt=gt&doc=IP/02/959|0|RAPID&lg=EN&display=

European Commission (2002c) A strategy for the sustainable development of European aquaculture. Communication from the Commission to the Council and European Parliament, 19th September
http://europa.eu.int/comm/fisheries/doc_et_publ/factsheets/legal_texts/docscom/en/com_02_511_en.pdf

European Commission (2002d) Diagnoses, pathogeneses and epidemiologies of salmonid alphavirus diseases. Cordis Fifth Framework Project, Brussels
http://dbs.cordis.lu/fep-cgi/srchidadb?ACTION=D&SESSION=247312002-9-28&DOC=9&TBL=EN_PROJ&RCN=EP_RCN_A:58990&CALLER=PROJ_FP5

European Commission (2002e) Dioxin and PCB accumulation in farmed fish from feed. Cordis Fifth Framework Project, Brussels
http://dbs.cordis.lu/fep-cgi/srchidadb?ACTION=D&SESSION=247312002-9-28&DOC=22&TBL=EN_PROJ&RCN=EP_RCN_A:63226&CALLER=PROJ_FP5

European Commission (2002f) Recombinant vaccines against infectious pancreatic necrosis (IPN) in salmonid fish. Fourth Framework Programme, Brussels
http://dbs.cordis.lu/cordis-cgi/srchidadb?ACTION=D&SESSION=79532002-9-28&DOC=72&TBL=EN_PROJ&RCN=EP_RCN:34910&CALLER=EN_CORDIS

European Commission (2002g) Aquaculture management and ecological interaction of noxious phytoplankton developments in the south of Latin America. Fourth Framework Programme, Brussels
http://dbs.cordis.lu/cordis-cgi/srchidadb?ACTION=D&SESSION=79532002-9-28&DOC=95&TBL=EN_PROJ&RCN=EP_RCN:40155&CALLER=EN_CORDIS

European Commission (2002h) Performance and ecological Impacts of introduced and escaped fish: physiological and behavioural mechanisms. Fourth Framework Programme, Brussels
http://dbs.cordis.lu/cordis-cgi/srchidadb?ACTION=D&SESSION=79532002-9-28&DOC=108&TBL=EN_PROJ&RCN=EP_RCN:48060&CALLER=EN_CORDIS

European Commission (2002i) Cost effective and environmentally-friendly feed management strategies for Mediterranean cage aquaculture. Fourth Framework Programme, Brussels
http://dbs.cordis.lu/cordis-cgi/srchidadb?ACTION=D&SESSION=79532002-9-28&DOC=119&TBL=EN_PROJ&RCN=EP_RCN:55104&CALLER=EN_CORDIS

European Commission (2002j) Gene transfer into fish. Cordis, Brussels
http://dbs.cordis.lu/cordis-cgi/srchidadb?ACTION=D&SESSION=79532002-9-28&DOC=159&TBL=EN_RESU&RCN=EN_RCN:16745&CALLER=EN_CORDIS

European Commission (2002k) Improvement of transgenic technologies in fish: assessments and reduction of risks. Fourth Framework Programme, Brussels
http://dbs.cordis.lu/cordis-cgi/srchidadb?ACTION=D&SESSION=223342002-9-28&DOC=2&TBL=EN_PROJ&RCN=EP_RCN:48135&CALLER=EISIMPLE_EN_PROJ

European Commission (2002l) Molecular basis of fish immunity for disease resistance. Fourth Framework Programme, Brussels
http://dbs.cordis.lu/cordis-cgi/srchidadb?ACTION=D&SESSION=234282002-9-28&DOC=1&TBL=EN_PROJ&RCN=EP_RCN:34931&CALLER=EISIMPLE_EN_PROJ

European Medicines Evaluation Agency (1999) Azamethiphos - risk assessment. EMEA, London
<http://www.emea.eu.int/pdfs/vet/mrls/000195en.pdf>
<http://www.emea.eu.int/pdfs/vet/mrls/052798en.pdf>

European Parliament (1996a) Aquaculture: development, environmental impact, product quality improvements Volume II – the present state of aquaculture in the EU member states and its future up to 2005 (Scientific and Technological Options Assessment). Directorate General for Research, Brussels

European Parliament (1996b) Aquaculture: development, environmental impact, product quality improvements Volume III – technical aspects and prospects for a sustained development of the aquaculture sector (Scientific and Technological Options Assessment). Directorate General for Research, Brussels

European Parliament (2000) Report on the proposal for a Council directive amending Directive 93/53/EEC introducing minimum Community measures for the control of certain fish diseases. Fisheries Committee, 21st February
<http://www2.europarl.eu.int/omk/OM-Europarl?PROG=REPORT&L=EN&PUBREF=-//EP//TEXT+REPORT+A5-2000-0036+0+NOT+SGML+V0//EN>

European Parliament (2001) Effects on the fisheries industries on the Commission proposals (SANCO) on dioxin content of fish, fish oil and fish meal as part of animal feed regulations (Scientific and Technological Options Assessment). European Parliament, Brussels

European Parliament (2002) Working document on aquaculture in the European Union: the present situation and future prospects. Committee on Fisheries, Brussels

Fagan, M (2001) Fish and shellfish farming 'incompatible'. Intrafish, 21st June
<http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=13493>

Federation of European Aquaculture Producers (2002) Aquaculture data. FEAP, Belgium

http://www.feap.info/feap/aquaculturedata/default_en.asp

Fennelly, S (2002) ISA at Irish farm. Fish Farming International, September

Fischler, F (1999) The future of aquaculture in Europe. Paper presented at the 3rd annual Conference PESCA in Santiago de Compostela, 5th November 1999
http://europa.eu.int/comm/fisheries/news_corner/discours/speech1_en.htm

Fisheries Information Service (2002a) Spain: Traders take advantage of label change transition. FIS, 21st August

<http://www.fis.com/fis/worldnews/worldnews.asp?monthyear=8-2002&day=21&id=3470&l=e&country=&special=&ndb=1>

Fisheries Information Service (2002b) Malta: Dismay as tuna farm gets green light - WWF has warned that tuna farms are a risk to wild bluefin tuna. FIS, 12th September 2002

<http://www.fis.com/fis/worldnews/worldnews.asp?l=e&country=&monthyear=&day=&id=3737&ndb=1>

Fleming, I A and Einum S (1997) Experimental tests of genetic divergence of farmed from wild Atlantic salmon due to domestication. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 54: 1051-1063

Fleming, I A et al (2000) Lifetime success and interactions of farm salmon invading a native population. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B 267 (1452), 1517-1523

Folke, C et al (1994) The costs of eutrophication from salmon farming: implications for policy. Journal of Environmental Management 40 (2), 173-182

Food and Drug Administration (2002) US FDA refusal actions and recalls. January - February 2002 http://www.fda.gov/ora/oasis/2/ora_oasis_i_16.html

<http://www.safetyalerts.com/recall/f/02/f0000130.htm>

<http://www.safetyalerts.com/recall/f/02/f0000130.htm>

<http://www.fda.gov/bbs/topics/ENFORCE/ENF00647.html>

Food Safety Authority of Ireland (2002) Investigation on PCDDs/PCDFs and several PCBs in fish samples (salmon and trout). FSAI, Dublin

<http://www.fsai.ie/industry/Dioxins3.htm>

Friends of the Earth Scotland (2001a) The one that got away - marine salmon farming in Scotland. Friends of the Earth Scotland, Edinburgh

<http://www.foe-scotland.org.uk/nation/fish.html>

http://www.loch-fyne.com/sustainability/sustainability_foe.htm

Friends of the Earth Scotland (2001b) 'Back to basics' call for Scotland's salmon farmers: FoE demands the 3Rs - relocation, reduction and removal. 30th September

<http://www.foe-scotland.org.uk/press/pr20010914.html>

- G3 Consulting (2000) Salmon aquaculture waste management review and update. Prepared for the BC Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks. http://wlapwww.gov.bc.ca/epd/epdpa/industrial_waste/agriculture/salmon_aqu.pdf
- Gardar, J (2002a) 600,000 Faroese salmon on the run after storms. Intrafish, 28th February <http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=21073>
- Gardar, J (2002b) Faroe Salmon stricken by ISA again. Intrafish, 5th July <http://intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=24895>
- Gardar, J (2002c) Three Faroe companies under dumping investigation. Intrafish, 29th August <http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=26561>
- Gargan, P and Tully, O (2002) Sea lice infestation of sea trout in Ireland: 1992-2001. Poster presented at the 6th International Atlantic Salmon Symposium in Edinburgh, 16-18th July
- GESAMP (1996) Monitoring the ecological effects of coastal aquaculture wastes. Report No. 57. FAO, Rome <http://gesamp.imo.org/no57/index.htm>
- GESAMP (1997) Towards the safe and effective use of chemicals in coastal aquaculture. Report No. 65. FAO, Rome <http://gesamp.imo.org/no65/index.htm>
<http://www.fao.org/docrep/meeting/003/w6435e.htm#concern>
- George, R and Bhopal, R (1995) Fat composition of free living and farmed sea species: implications for human diet and sea-farming techniques. British Food Journal, 97, 19-22
- Girling, R (2001) Is this fish or is it foul? - Tuck into this: salmon's flesh is flushed with chemicals, not health, and its farming as cruel as that of any battery hen. The Sunday Times, 30th September <http://www.fobhb.org/SundayTimes6.htm>
<http://www.fobhb.org/SundayTimes7.htm>
<http://www.fobhb.org/SundayTimes8.htm>
<http://www.fobhb.org/SundayTimes9.htm>
<http://www.fobhb.org/SundayTimes10.htm>
<http://www.fobhb.org/SundayTimes10.htm#girling>
- Gowen, R J (1990) An assessment of the impacts of fish farming on the water column and sediments ecosystem of Irish coastal waters. Department of the Marine, Dublin
- Gowen, R J and Ezzi, I A (1992) Assessment and production of the potential for hypernutrification and eutrophication associated with cage culture of salmonids in Scottish coastal waters. Dunstaffnage Marine Laboratory, Oban
- Grave, K, Engelstad, M and Soli, N E (1991) Utilization of dichlorvos and trichlorofon in salmonid farming in Norway during 1981 - 1988. Acta Vet. Scand 32, 1-7

Hansen, L P, Jacobsen, J A and Lund, R A (1999) The incidence of escaped farmed Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L., in the Faroese fishery and estimates of catches of wild salmon. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 56(2), 200-206

HELCOM (2001) Measures aimed at the reduction of discharges from marine fish farms. Helsinki Commission, Finland

Hellou, J et al (2002a) Priority contaminants in sediments around aquaculture cages. Fisheries and Oceans, Canada

Hellou, J et al (2002b) Unintentional use of organic contaminants in aquaculture and impact on sediments. Fisheries and Oceans, Canada

Hjellestad, O (2001a) Vegetables save salmon farming - more research on vegetable substitutes for marine raw materials in fish feed is needed. Fisheries Information Service, 3rd July <http://fis.com/fis/worldnews/worldnews.asp?l=e&id=19123>

Hjellestad, O (2001b) Blue whiting recommendation poses serious threat - Norwegian fishmeal and fish oil plants wouldn't have much to do in winter if blue whiting catches were banned. Fisheries Information Service, 27th June <http://fis.com/fis/worldnews/worldnews.asp?l=e&id=19045>

Hjellestad, O (2002) Researchers try krill feed experiment - future fish feed may contain krill raw material. Fisheries Information Service, 22nd May <http://www.fis.com/fis/worldnews/worldnews.asp?l=e&country=&monthyear=5-2002&day=22&id=2404&ndb=1>
<http://fis.com/fis/worldnews/worldnews.asp?l=e&id=19181>

Holst, J C et al (2002) Mortality of seaward migrating post smolts of Atlantic salmon due to salmon lice infestation in western Norwegian salmon stocks. Paper presented at the 6th International Atlantic Salmon Symposium in Edinburgh, 16-18th July

Horsberg, T (2000) Food safety aspects of aquaculture products in Norway. *Caligus* 6, March <http://www.aims.ca/Aqua/horsberg.htm>
<http://www.ecoserve.ie/projects/sealice/caligus6.pdf>

Horsberg, T E and Hoy, T (1990) Residues of dichlorvos in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) after delousing. *Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry* 38, 1403-1406
<http://www.ecoserve.ie/projects/sealice/nuvan.html>
http://www.veso.no/aquamedicine/pharmacology_projects.html

Horsberg, T E Hoy, T and Nafstad, I (1989) Organophosphate poisoning of Atlantic salmon in connection with treatment against salmon lice. *Acta. Vet. Scand.* 30, 385-390 <http://www.ecoserve.ie/projects/sealice/nuvan.html>

Institute for Agriculture Trade and Policy (2002) A salmon shoppers' guide. IATP, Minneapolis <http://www.factoryfarm.org/docs/SalmonShoppersGuide-Activist.pdf>
http://www.iatp.org/faspf/library/uploadedfiles/Go_Wild_Reject_The_Farms.doc

International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (1996) Report of the working group on environmental impacts of mariculture. ICES C.M. F/5, Nantes

International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (1999a) Report of the working group on environmental impacts of mariculture. ICES C.M. F/2, Montpellier

International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (1999b) Symposium on the environmental effects of mariculture: HABs and mariculture. September, New Brunswick <http://www.ices.dk/symposia/eem/eemoral.htm>
<http://www.ices.dk/symposia/eem/habsess1.htm>
<http://www.ices.dk/symposia/eem/Diagram.pdf>

Intrafish (1998) Using banned chemical - one of Shetland's biggest salmon firms was fined a thousand pounds yesterday for using the banned fish farm chemical Cypermethrin. Intrafish, 30th April <http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=809>

Intrafish (1999a) IPN main reason for losses. Intrafish, 15th March <http://intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=3037>

Intrafish (1999b) IPN in Norway: every fourth smolt killed. Intrafish, 26th May <http://intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=3571>

Intrafish (2001) Nutreco shares are taking a near 15% dive - the food scare caused by the BBC documentary yet to be broadcast has caused Nutreco shares to plummet by almost 15 per cent. Intrafish, 5th January <http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=9361>
<http://csf.colorado.edu/mail/deep-ecology/2001/msg00010.html>

Intrafish (2002a) UK surveillance programme finds PCBs in Chilean and Danish fish. Intrafish, 4th March <http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=21139>

Intrafish (2002b) Greek aquaculture squeezing tourism out? Intrafish, 13th September <http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=27081>

Intrafish (2002c) Norway: 11 million farmed salmon lost in 2001. Intrafish, 12th September <http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=27042>

Intrafish (2002d) EC could give initial Chilean dumping verdict in November. Intrafish, 26th September <http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=27483>

Irish Times (2002) Save the Swilly presents 10,000-signature petition to EU. Irish Times, 28th March

Jacobs, M et al (2000) Investigations of CDDs, PCDFs and selected coplanar PCBs in Scottish farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Organohalogen Compounds 47, 338-341 http://www.cevs.ucdavis.edu/ces_pages/conferences/dioxin2000/scientific/

Jacobs, M et al (2002a) Investigation of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins, dibenzo-p-furans and selected coplanar biphenyls in Scottish farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Chemosphere* 47 (2), 183-191 <http://www.sciencedirect.com>

Jacobs, M et al (2002b) Investigation of selected persistent organic pollutants in farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*), salmon aquaculture feed, and fish oil components of the feed. *Environmental Science and Technology* 36 (13), 2797-2805
<http://pubs.acs.org/journals/esthag/>
http://pubs.acs.org/subscribe/journals/esthag-a/36/i13/toc/to_c_i13.html

Jensen, B A (2001) Illegal medicine used in Norwegian marine farming. *Intrafish*, 3rd August <http://www.intrafish.com/articlea.php?articleID=14738>
http://www.biomar.no/niv2/produkter/sertiikat/Malachite_eng.html

Kiviranta, H et al (2002) Polychlorinated Dibenzo-p-dioxins, dibenzofurans and biphenyls in fishermen in Finland. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 110 (4), 355-361

Lundebye, A K, Boe, B and Julsham, K (2000) Documenting seafood safety: contaminant concentrations in Norwegian fish feeds and mariculture products. *ICES CM P*: 03, 1-2

Lutzhof, H, Halling, S and Jorgensen, S (1999) Algal toxicity of antibacterial agents applied in Danish fish farming. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 36(1), 1

Mac, M J et al (1979) PCBs and DDE in commercial fish feeds. *Progressive Fish Culturist* 41, 210-211

MacAllister Elliot and Partners (1999) Forward study of community aquaculture. MacAllister Elliot and Partners, Lymington
http://europa.eu.int/comm/fisheries/doc_et_pub/liste_publi/studies/aquaculture.pdf

Macaskill, M (2002) Wasting disease threat to fish farms: new danger – more than half of Scotland's fish farms have reported cases of a wasting disease, IPN, which could wipe out the industry. *The Sunday Times*, 11th August

Martin, J (2000) Does salmonid aquaculture impact blooms of *Alexandrium*, *pseudo-nitzschia* and *dinophysis*? Paper presented at Harmful Algal Blooms 2000, Tasmania
http://www.utas.edu.au/docs/plant_science/HAB2000/abstracts/docs/Martin_Jennifer.html
<http://www.mar.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/sabs/SALMON2001.htm>

Mastracchio, E (1992) Aquaculture development in the Community and the need for change. In *Aquaculture and the Environment*, European Aquaculture Society Special Publication No 16, 9-12

McDowell, N (2002) Stream of escaped farmed fish raises fears for wild salmon. *Nature*, 11th April http://www.pirg.org/ge/reports/naturemag_gefish.pdf

McGinnity, P et al (1997) Genetic impact of escaped farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) on native populations: use of DNA profiling to assess freshwater performance of wild, farmed and hybrid progeny in a natural river environment. ICES Journal of Marine Science 54, 998-1008

McGinnity, P et al (2002) A comparison of the fitness of farmed/wild "hybrid" salmon with native fish. Paper presented at the 6th International Atlantic Salmon Symposium in Edinburgh, 16-18th July

Meldon, J (ed) (1993) Aquaculture in Ireland - towards sustainability. An Taisce, Dublin

MERAMED (2002) The MERAMED project site. European Commission, Brussels
<http://www.meramed.com/summary.htm>
http://dbs.cordis.lu/fep/cgi/srchidadb?ACTION=D&SESSION=247312002-9-28&DOC=20&TBL=EN_PROJ&RCN=EP_RCN_A:63206&CALLER=PROJ_FP5

Merritt, M (2002) Salmon farms: 'a licence to pollute' - watchdog attacked for letting use of chemical use spiral. Scotland on Sunday, 24th February
<http://www.scotlandonsunday.com/scotland.cfm?id=212062002>

Milewski, I (2001) Impacts of salmon aquaculture on the coastal environment: a review. Paper presented at a conference in New Brunswick, Canada
<http://eastern.penbay.org/downloads/mmilewski.pdf>

Milewski, I, Harvey, J and Buerkle, B (1997) After the gold rush - the status and future of salmon aquaculture in New Brunswick. Conservation Council of New Brunswick, Fredericton <http://www.seaweb.org/resources/sac/reports/2.html>

Milieudefensie (2002) 'Good behaviour should not stop at border': Friends of the Earth files complaint against salmon producer Nutreco. Milieudefensie, 20th August
<http://www.milieudefensie.nl/persber/globalsering/020820english.htm>

Millar, G (1999) EU bombshell hits the desks. Fish Farming International, August

Millar, S (2001) Salmon farmers braced for clampdown on toxins. The Observer, 7th January <http://www.guardian.co.uk/Archive/Article/0,4273,4113652,00.html>

Milner, N J and Evans, R (2002) The incidence of escaped Irish salmon in English and Welsh rivers. Poster presented at the 6th International Atlantic Salmon Symposium in Edinburgh, 16-18th July

Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food (1999) Dioxins in fish and fishery products. MAFF, London
<http://www.foodstandards.gov.uk/maff/archive/food/infsheet/1999/no184/184diox.htm>
<http://www.endsreport.com/issue/article.cfm?ArticleID=5726&Criteria=dioxins&SearchType=phrase>

Moore, A and Waring C (2001) The effects of a synthetic pyrethroid on some aspects of reproduction in Atlantic salmon. Aquatic Toxicology 52, 1-12

Munday, B W et al (1992) The interactions of aquaculture and the environment: a bibliography review. Report prepared for the EC's Directorate for Fisheries, Brussels

Navarro, N (2000) Planktonic ecosystem impacts of salmon cage aquaculture in a Scottish sea loch. ICES Cooperative Research Report 240, Denmark
<http://www.biology.au.dk/~biopg/YSCHP/Old/applic/108.HTM>
<http://www.ices.dk/pubs/crr/crr240/crr240.pdf>

Naylor, R L et al (1998) Nature's subsidies to shrimp and salmon farming. *Science*, 282 (5390), 883-884 <http://www.nature.com>

Naylor, R L et al (2000) Effect of aquaculture on world fish supplies. *Nature* 405, 1017-1024 http://www.nature.com/cgi-taf/DynaPage.taf?file=/nature/journal/v405/n6790/full/4051017a0_fs.html

New Scientist (1997) Salmon boycott – two British supermarket chains are refusing to sell salmon from fish farms which use a pesticide called ivermectin to kill sea lice. *New Scientist*, 10th May

Nishimura, A (1982) The effects of organic matters produced in fish farms on the growth of red tide algae *Gymnodinium*-type '65 and *Chatonella antiqua*. *Bulletin of the Plankton Society of Japan* 29, 1-7

O'Brien, D P (1989) Salmonid farming in Ireland: environmental and legislative problems assessed. Earthwatch, Dublin

O'Sullivan, R (1989) Intensive fin fish farming in Ireland: a cause for concern. Published by the author.

OECD (1989) Aquaculture: developing a new industry. OECD, Washington

Oetjen, K and Karl, H (1998) Levels of toxaphene indicator compounds in fish meal, fish oil and fish feed. *Chemosphere* 37 (1), 1-11

Oliver, P and Colleran, E (eds) (1990) Interactions between aquaculture and the environment. An Taisce, Dublin

OSPAR (1994) PARCOM recommendation 94/6 on best environmental practice for the reduction of inputs of potentially toxic chemicals from aquaculture use. Oslo and Paris Conventions for the Prevention of Marine Pollution, 16th Joint meeting

OSPAR (2001) Nutrient discharges from fish farming in the OSPAR Convention area. Oslo and Paris Commission, Copenhagen http://www.ospar.org/v_publications

Paone, S (2000a) Farmed and dangerous: human health risks associated with salmon farming. Friends of Clayoquot Sound, Tofino
http://www.ancientrainforest.org/reports/salmon_farming_health_risks.pdf

Paone, S (2000b) Industrial Disease: The risk of disease transfer from farmed salmon to wild salmon. Friends of Clayoquot Sound, Tofino
<http://www.seaweb.org/resources/sac/reports/6.html>

Pauly, D et al (2002) Towards sustainability in world fisheries. *Nature* 418, 689 - 695
http://www.nature.com/cgi-taf/DynaPage.taf?file=/nature/journal/v418/n6898/full/nature01017_fs.html

Pergent, G et al (1999) Preliminary data on the impact of fish farming facilities on *Posidonia oceanica* meadows in the Mediterranean. *Oceanologica Acta* 22 (1), 95-107

Persson, G (1991) Eutrophication resulting from salmonid fish culture in fresh and salt waters: Scandinavian experiences. In C B Cowey and C Y Cho (eds) *Nutritional strategies in management of aquaculture waste*. University of Guelph, Ontario

Pesticides Residues Committee (2002) Pesticides residues monitoring. October – December 2001 <http://www.pesticides.gov.uk/committees/PRC/2001.htm>
<http://www.pesticides.gov.uk/committees/PRC/fourthq2001rev1/q4rep-01rev1.pdf>
<http://www.pesticides.gov.uk/committees/PRC/fourthq2001rev1/q4sumtabsrev1.pdf>
<http://www.pesticides.gov.uk/committees/PRC/fourthq2001rev1/q4annexrev1.pdf>

Pike, I H and Barlow, S M (1999) Fish meal and oil to the year 2010: supplies for aquaculture. Paper presented at the World Aquaculture Society Conference '99. Sydney, Australia <http://www.fishlink.co.uk/ifoma/raw.html>

Poole, W R et al (2000) Occurrence of canthaxanthin in Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L., fry in Irish rivers as an indicator of escaped farmed salmon. *Fisheries Management and Ecology*, October <http://www.blackwell-synergy.com/servlet/useragent?func=synergy&synergyAction=showAbstract&doi=10.1046/j.1365-2400.2000.00209.x>

Reuters (2002) Friends of Earth slam Nutreco for Chile salmon. Reuters, 22nd August
<http://www.planetark.org/dailynewsstory.cfm/newsid/17401/story.htm>

Richardson, C (2001a) 'Dishonest' labelling in 25% of French cases. Intrafish, 14th November <http://intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=18018>

Richardson, C (2001b) Farmed fish 'too fat' - French consumer magazine. Intrafish, 14th November <http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=18012>

Richardson, C (2002a) Lip-service to labels in France. Intrafish, 9th January
<http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=19620>

Richardson, C (2002b) European Commission finally to discuss sea bream and sea bass crisis. Intrafish, 2nd May <http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=22861>

Richardson, C (2002c) French consumers perception of farmed fish 'not encouraging' – Ofimer. Intrafish, 23rd August <http://www.intrafish.com/articlea.php?articleID=26387>

Ronnberg, O et al (1992) Effects of fish farming on growth, epiphytes and nutrient content of *Fucus vesiculosus* L. in the Aland archipelago, northern Baltic sea. *Aquatic Botany* 42, 109-120

Rosenthal, H, Hilge, V and Kamstra, A (eds) (1993) Proceedings of the workshop on fish farm effluents and their control in EC countries. Department of Fish Biology, University of Kiel http://www.aquachallenge.org/CVs/rosenthal_CV.html

Ross, A (1989) Nuva use in salmon farming: the antithesis of the precautionary principle. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 20 (8), 372-374
<http://www.artneq.com/Tim/Timarchive/humphrys.htm>

Ross, A (1990) UK usage of pesticides - controls and lessons to be learned. In P Oliver and E Colleran (eds) *Interactions between aquaculture and the environment*. An Taisce, Dublin

Ross, A (1997) Leaping in the dark: a review of the environmental impacts of marine salmon farming in Scotland and proposals for change. *Scottish Wildlife and Countryside Link*, Perth <http://www.pan-uk.org/pestnews/pn37/pn37p17c.htm>
<http://www.scotlink.co.uk>

Ross, A and Horsman, P V (1988) The use of Nuva 500 EC in the salmon farming industry. *Marine Conservation Society*, Ross-on-Wye

Ross, D and Holme, C (2001) Split on use of fish farm drugs - shellfish producers call for halt on expansion because of unease over increasing use of chemicals. *The Herald*, 5th April <http://www.theherald.co.uk/news/archive/5-4-19101-23-53-22.html>

Ross, J (2001) Fish farm inquiry snub prompts appeal to EU. *The Scotsman*, 7th November
http://news.scotsman.com/index.cfm?id=1499472001&rware=KDSVPMAIQYJW&CQ_CUR_DOCUMENT=11

Roth, M (2000) The availability and use of chemotherapeutic sea lice control products. *Contributions to Zoology* 69 (1-2), 109-118
<http://sbpark.com/pacrim/dbenvfe2.html#chemoprod>

Royal Society of Edinburgh (2001) RSE working party on infectious salmon anaemia. RSE, Edinburgh <http://www.ma.hw.ac.uk/RSE/enquiries/isa/>
http://www.geocities.com/ken_sims_98/nzffa/scottishisa.htm#5

Ruiz, J M (2001) Effects of fish farm loadings on seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*) distribution, growth and photosynthesis. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 42 (9), 749-760
<http://www.elsevier.nl/inca/publications/store/4/0/0/>

Ruokolahti, C (1988) Effects of fish farming on growth and chlorophyll a content of *Cladophora*. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 19, 166-169

- Sandison, B (2000) The fish is off. BBC Wildlife Magazine, January
<http://csf.colorado.edu/mail/deep-ecology/2000/msg00090.html>
- Saroglia, M et al (2000) Review of regulations and monitoring of Italian marine aquaculture. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 16, 182-186
- Scoging, A and Bahl, M (1998) Diarrhetic shellfish poisoning in the UK. *The Lancet* 352, 117
- Scottish Association of Marine Science (2002a) The ecological effects of sea lice treatment agents on zooplankton in Scottish sea lochs. SAMS, Oban
<http://www.sams.ac.uk/>
- Scottish Association of Marine Science (2002b) The toxicity of sea lice chemotherapeutants to non-target planktonic copepods. SAMS, Oban
<http://www.sams.ac.uk/>
- Scottish Association of Marine Science (2002c) Influence of fish farm nutrient inputs on planktonic microbial activity. SAMS, Oban
<http://www.sams.ac.uk/>
- Saegrov, H et al (1997) Escaped farmed Atlantic salmon replace the original salmon stock in the River Vosso, western Norway. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 54 (6), 1166-1172
- Salte, R et al (1987) Fatal acetylcholinesterase inhibition in salmonids subjected to a routine organophosphate treatment. *Aquaculture* 61, 173-179
<http://www.ecoserve.ie/projects/sealice/nuvan.html>
- Schnick, R A et al (1997) Worldwide aquaculture drug and vaccine registration progress. *Bulletin of the European Association of Fish Pathologists* 17(6), 251-260
http://ag.ansc.purdue.edu/aquanic/jsa/aquadrugs/publications/world_drug_progress_9-20-99.htm
- Scottish Executive (2002a) Use of malachite green to end. Scottish Executive, 11th June
<http://www.scotland.gov.uk/pages/news/2002/06/seen056.aspx>
- Scottish Executive (2002b) Review and synthesis of the environmental impacts of aquaculture. Report by the Scottish Association for Marine Science and Napier University published by the Scottish Executive Central Research Unit, Edinburgh
<http://www.scotland.gov.uk/cru/kd01/green/reia-00.asp>
- Scottish Natural Heritage (2001) Maritime aquaculture and the natural heritage. Scottish Natural Heritage Policy Statement 01/01, Edinburgh
<http://www.snh.org.uk/pdfs/polstat/maritimeaquaculture.pdf>
- Scottish Parliament (2002a) Aquaculture inquiry: stage 1 report (volumes 1 and 2). Transport and Environment Committee, Edinburgh
http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/official_report/cttee/trans-02/trr02-05-vol01-01.htm
http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/official_report/cttee/trans-02/trr02-05-vol02-01.htm

Scottish Parliament (2002b) To ask the Scottish Executive how many escapes of salmon from salmon farms were reported where incidents of infectious pancreatic necrosis have also been reported in each year since 1979. Written parliamentary answers, 25th August
http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/official_report/wa-02/wa0925.htm

Scottish Wildlife and Countryside Link (1992) The future for sea lice control in cultured salmonids: a review. SWCL, Perth

Scottish Wildlife and Countryside Link (1993) Bacterial disease control, antibiotics and the environment in marine finfish culture: a review. SWCL, Perth

Seafish (2001) Consumer attitudes towards seafood labelling. Seafish, 25th May
http://www.seafish.co.uk/news_releases/press152.htm

Sierra Legal Defence Fund (1997) Containing disaster: global lessons on salmon aquaculture. Prepared for Greenpeace, Friends of Clayoquot Sound and the David Suzuki Foundation, Vancouver <http://www.seaweb.org/resources/sac/reports/4.html>

Solsletten, V (2001) ISA situation worse than ever. Intrafish, 30th November
<http://www.intrafish.com/articlea.php?articleID=18553>

Solsletten, V (2002a) 2002 proves unfortunate for North Norway and ISA. Intrafish, 3rd July
<http://intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=24848>

Solsletten, V (2002b) Fear of medicine residues unwarranted, claims FHL head. Intrafish, 10th September <http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=26941>

Solsletten, V (2002c) EC names exporters in breach of salmon agreement - the European Commission has now announced the names of the ten companies that are said to have breached the EU-Norway salmon agreement. Intrafish, 9th September
<http://www.intrafish.com/article.php?articleID=26934>

Staniford, D (2001) Cage rage: unless there is an inquiry into sea cage fish farming in Scotland a public backlash might blow it out of the water. The Ecologist, November
http://www.theecologist.org/archive_article.html?article=267&category=88

Staniford, D (2002a) Yes: it's true, pollutants pollute. New Scientist, 11th May
<http://www.newscientist.com/opinion/opletters.jsp?id=ns234211>

Staniford, D (2002b) A big fish in a small pond: the global environmental and public health threat of sea cage fish farming. Paper presented at "Sustainability of the Salmon Industry in Chile and the World" - a workshop organised by the Terram Foundation and Universidad de los Lagos in Puerto Montt, Chile, 5th-6th June
[http://www.watershed-watch.org/www/publications/sf/BigFishSmallPond\(Chile\).pdf](http://www.watershed-watch.org/www/publications/sf/BigFishSmallPond(Chile).pdf)
http://www.terram.cl/Expo_Don.html
<http://www.ecoceanos.cl/ingles/big.htm>

Studela, S (2002) Tuna farming: grab, cage, fatten, sell - tuna farming in the Mediterranean raising issues of common property resources and plundering of a stock. Samudra July, 9-17 http://icsf.net/jsp/samudra/english/issue_32/art2.pdf

Tacon, A (1994) Dependence of intensive aquaculture systems on fishmeal and other fishery resources. FAO Aquaculture Newsletter 6, 10-16

Tacon, A and Forster, J (2001) Global trends and challenges to aquaculture and aquafeed development in the new millenium. In International aquafeed directory and buyers guide, 4-25

Tacon, A and Barg (2001) Responsible aquaculture development for the next millennium. In L Mavia and B Garcia (eds) Responsible aquaculture development in SE Asia. SEAFDEC, Philippines

Talbot, C and Hole, R (1994) Fish diets and the control of eutrophication resulting from aquaculture. Journal of Applied Ichthyology 10 (4), 259-270

Tovar, A et al (2000) Environmental implications of intensive marine aquaculture in earthen ponds. Marine Pollution Bulletin 40 (11), 981-988
<http://www.elsevier.nl/inca/publications/store/4/00/>

Vliet, T V and Katan, M.B (1990) Lower ratio of n-3 to n-6 fatty acids in cultured than in wild fish. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 51, 1-2

Veterinary Medicines Directorate (1998) Annual surveillance report for veterinary residues (1997). Veterinary Medicines Directorate, Surrey <http://www.vmd.gov.uk>

Webb, J H et al (1991) The spawning behaviour of escaped farmed salmon and wild adult Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) in a northern Scottish river. Aquaculture 98, 97-110

Webb, J H et al (1993) The spawning of escaped farmed salmon (*Salmo salar*) in western and northern Scottish rivers: egg deposition by females. Aquaculture and Fisheries Management 24, 663-670

Williams, M (1996) The transition in the contribution of living aquatic resources to food security. International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington DC
<http://www.cgiar.org/ifpri>

WWF (2002a) Tuna farming a major threat for already over-fished wild tuna in the Mediterranean, warns WWF. WWF press release, 15th February
<http://www.panda.org/news/press/news.cfm?id=2726>

WWF (2002b) WWF, Greenpeace and ANSE protest against tuna farming in the Mediterranean. WWF press release, 29th April
<http://www.panda.org/news/press/news.cfm?id=2889>

WWF (2002c) WWF boat attacked by tuna farm workers. WWF Spain press release, 6th August <http://www.panda.org/news/press/news.cfm?id=3063>

WWF Scotland (2000) Scotland's secret - aquaculture, nutrient pollution eutrophication and toxic blooms. WWF Scotland, Aberfeldy
<http://www.wwf-uk.org/filelibrary/pdf/secret.pdf>

Youngson, A F et al (1997) Frequency of occurrence of reared Atlantic salmon in Scottish salmon fisheries. ICES Journal of Marine Science 54, 1216-1220

Youngson, A F et al (1998) Interactions between salmon culture and wild stocks of Atlantic salmon: the scientific and management issues. North Atlantic Salmon Conservation Organisation, Edinburgh <http://www.nasco.org>

For further information (this paper is available in electronic format with all the web-links easily accessible) please contact Don Staniford: don.staniford@virgin.net